

PRENATAL EXPOSURE TO PHTHALATES, BISPHENOL, AND ORGANOPHOSPHATE PESTICIDE MIXTURES AND FETAL GROWTH

Kelly K. Ferguson Principal Investigator Epidemiology Branch National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences











CHEMICAL MIXTURES
OP pesticides
phthalates
bisphenols

- 1. Introduction to environmental chemical exposures
- 2. Why examining the mixture is important
- 3. How we examine the mixture

ORGANOPHOSPHATE PESTICIDE EXPOSURE

SOURCES

Diet is main concern (fruits and vegetables)



METABOLISM

Broken down into nonspecific dialkyl phosphate metabolites (DAPs)

Excreted in urine rapidly (~24 hours)



HEALTH EFFECTS

Neurotoxic potential through acetylcholinesterase inhibition



PHTHALATE EXPOSURE

SOURCES

Personal care products Vinyl plastics Food and beverage packaging

METABOLISM

Broken down into monoester metabolites

Excreted in urine rapidly (~24-48 hours)



HEALTH EFFECTS

Endocrine disruption Neurodevelopmental outcomes



BISPHENOL EXPOSURE

SOURCES

Hard plastic Thermal receipts Can linings

METABOLISM

Glucuronidated

Excreted in urine rapidly (~24 hours)

HEALTH EFFECTS

Hormone disruption Metabolic dysfunction









WHAT ABOUT THE MIXTURE?

- <u>These chemical</u> <u>exposures are</u> <u>correlated.</u>
- Highest correlations within chemical classes
- Some correlations across classes also observed (particularly bisphenols and phthalates)



WHAT ABOUT THE MIXTURE?

- There are several questions that we can ask:
 - 1. Which compound is the most toxic?
 - 2. What is the impact of an *a priori* identified group of chemicals?
 - 3. What is the interactive effect?
 - 4. What is the pattern of exposure in our population?
 - 5. <u>What is the overall effect of the</u> <u>chemical mixture?</u>



WHAT ABOUT THE MIXTURE?

- <u>The joint effect of the mixture</u> <u>may be more realistic than</u> <u>individual effect estimates.</u>
- Changing behaviors or policies to avoid exposure to a class of chemicals (rather than a single chemical) may be a more reasonable approach



Joubert et al. 2022, IJERPH

HOW DO WE ESTIMATE THE JOINT EFFECT?

- In an effort led by collaborator Alex
 Keil, we developed a novel approach:
 <u>quantile g computation</u>
- Easy interpretation: the change in outcome per quantile increase in all exposures in the mixture
- Easy (and fast) implementation: qgcomp package in R
- Allows for non-linearity and nonadditivity of the effects of individual exposure and the mixture as a whole





ENVIRONMENT \longrightarrow MECHANISMS \longrightarrow PREGNANCY \longrightarrow CHILD HEALTH



FETAL GROWTH

1. <u>Advantages of utilizing</u> <u>ultrasound measures</u>

ULTRASOUND MEASURES OF FETAL GROWTH







- Improve on outcome assessment by:
 - 1. Allow detection of deviations from normality occurring during gestation (not just at delivery)
 - 2. Investigation of rates of change in growth, rather than a snapshot of size
 - 3. Assessment of specific growth measures in addition to weight
 - Femur length as an indicator of skeletal growth
 - Head circumference to reflect brain development







GENERATION R STUDY



- Prospective birth cohort in Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- PI: Vincent Jaddoe, Erasmus Medical Center
- Primary objective: identify early environmental and genetic determinants of development
- Recruitment 2002-2006
- **N** = **784** for present analysis

SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS



METHODS

EXPOSURE BIOMARKERS

- 6 dialkyl phosphates
- 18 phthalate metabolites
- 8 bisphenols

Funding obtained by Leonardo Trasande and analysis performed by Kurunthachalam Kannan (NYU Grossman School of Medicine)

OUTCOMES

- Standard deviation scores (SDS) of measures based on internal standard
 - Ultrasound measures of head circumference, femur length, estimated fetal weight
 - Delivery measures of head circumference, length, and weight

METHODS

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

- Repeated exposure measurements were standardized to urinary creatinine and averaged (hereafter, pregnancy average)
- Quartiles of exposure were created for each biomarker with sufficient detection (>80% of measurements above LOD)
- Fetal growth measurements examined separately by study visit
- Non-linearity assessed by adding quadratic terms for exposure and comparing model AIC
- Primary models: Change in outcome per quartile increase in pregnancy-average of all exposures within the mixture
 - Models adjusted for fetal sex, maternal age, pre-pregnancy weight, height, education, income, marital status, parity, smoking, alcohol use, and folic acid use

RESULTS AND TAKEAWAYS

1. Pregnancy exposure to the mixture was associated with decreased estimated fetal weight (EFW), even with low levels

EXPOSURE MIXTURE AND EFW



Estimated fetal weight at 30 weeks



van den Dries et al., 2021, EHP

RESULTS AND TAKEAWAYS

- 1. Pregnancy exposure to the mixture was associated with decreased estimated fetal weight (EFW), even with low levels
- 2. Pregnancy exposure to the mixture was associated with birth weight, but only at high levels

EXPOSURE MIXTURE AND BIRTH WEIGHT

Birth weight



van den Dries et al., 2021, EHP

RESULTS AND TAKEAWAYS

- 1. Pregnancy exposure to the mixture was associated with decreased estimated fetal weight (EFW), even with low levels
- 2. Pregnancy exposure to the mixture was associated with birth weight, but only at high levels
- 3. These effects appeared to be driven by phthalate exposure

PHTHALATE MIXTURE AND FETAL GROWTH



RESULTS AND TAKEAWAYS

- 1. Pregnancy exposure to the mixture was associated with decreased estimated fetal weight (EFW), even with low levels
- 2. Pregnancy exposure to the mixture was associated with birth weight, but only at high levels
- 3. These effects appeared to be driven by **<u>phthalate exposure</u>**
- 4. Associations with EFW appeared to be driven by <u>femur length</u>; no associations were observed between the overall mixture and head circumference

OVERALL CONCLUSIONS

- Exposure mixtures
 - Examining the mixture (at least within class) may be more relevant for public health than examining chemicals one at a time
- Fetal growth
 - Investigating growth longitudinally allows us to see effects that are important, but not visible, when examining birth weight alone

PUBLIC HEALTH IMPACT

- Intervention studies could reduce exposure to multiple chemicals simultaneously and improve fetal health
 - Decreasing consumption of packaged and processed foods
 - Using personal care products that are phthalate and phenol free
 - Reducing household dust
 - Consuming organic foods

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS





COAUTHORS

Michiel van den Dries, Erasmus MC, Spain Alex Keil, National Cancer Institute, USA Henning Tiemeier, Harvard School of Public Health, USA Anjoeka Pronk, TNO, The Netherlands Suzanne Spaan, TNO, The Netherlands Susana Santos, Erasmus MC, The Netherlands Alexandros Asimakopoulos, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, USA Kurunthachalam Kannan, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, USA Romy Gaillard, Erasmus MC, The Netherlands Mònica Guxens, IS Global, Spain Leonardo Trasande, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, USA Vincent Jaddoe, Erasmus MC, The Netherlands

FUNDING

- Intramural Research Program at NIEHS, NIH (ZIAES101575)
- -
- NIEHS, NIH grants (R01ES022972 and others) The research leading to these results received funding from the European _ Union Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under Grant Agreement 733206 (LifeCycle), 874583 (ATHLETE)

THANK YOU!

