

Public consultation on "air quality - revision of EU rules"

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

Background

Clean air is essential for our health and that of the environment. To this end, the EU – via the [Ambient Air Quality Directives](#) - sets air quality standards to avoid the build-up of excessive air pollutant concentrations (see an overview of the [EU air quality standards](#) below).

These Directives also define common methods to monitor, assess and inform on ambient air quality in the European Union. Furthermore, they require action, when standards are exceeded, in order to avoid, prevent or reduce harmful effects on human health and the environment as a whole.

As part of the [European Green Deal](#) and its [Zero Pollution Action Plan](#), the EU is revising these EU air quality standards, to align them more closely with the recommendations of the World Health Organization. Note that the World Health Organization publishes and updates [Air Quality Guidelines](#), most recently in 2021: these updated Air Quality Guidelines are considered in the ongoing revision of EU rules.

This revision also aims to improve overall EU legislation for clean air, including provisions on penalties in case of exceedances or requirements for public information, as well as propose means to strengthen air quality monitoring, modelling and plans to help local authorities achieve cleaner air.

<i>Pollutant</i>	<i>Concentration</i>	<i>Averaging period</i>	<i>Permitted exceedances each year</i>
Fine particulate matter (PM _{2.5})	25 µg/m ³	1 year	n/a
Particulate matter (PM ₁₀)	50 µg/m ³	24 hours	35
	40 µg/m ³	1 year	n/a
Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂)	350 µg/m ³	1 hour	24
	125 µg/m ³	24 hours	3
Nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂)	200 µg/m ³	1 hour	18
	40 µg/m ³	1 year	n/a
Lead (Pb)	0.5 µg/m ³	1 year	n/a
Carbon monoxide (CO)	10 mg/m ³	Max. daily 8 hour mean	n/a
Benzene (C ₆ H ₆)	5 µg/m ³	1 year	n/a
Ground-level ozone (O ₃)	120 µg/m ³	Max. daily 8 hour mean	25 averaged over 3 years
Arsenic (As)	6 ng/m ³	1 year	n/a
Cadmium (Cd)	5 ng/m ³	1 year	n/a
Nickel (Ni)	20 ng/m ³	1 year	n/a
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (expressed as Benzo(a)pyrene)	1 ng/m ³	1 year	n/a

Table 1: EU air quality standards (Pollutant; Concentration; Averaging period; Permitted exceedances each year)

Why are we consulting you?

The Commission has launched an [impact assessment](#) to support the Ambient Air Quality Directives revision.

In line with the Commission's [Better Regulation](#) agenda, this public consultation questionnaire will inform the revision process, and the views collected will be considered in the impact assessment, especially when designing potential (regulatory and non-regulatory) measures to reduce air pollution, strengthen air quality monitoring, modelling and plans, and reduce the related impacts on environment and society.

All citizens and organisations are welcome to contribute to this consultation. Contributions are particularly sought from national / regional / local authorities in the Member States, enforcement bodies, business and trade organisations' representatives and civil society organisations, academia, medical professionals, patient organisations, relevant international organisations, and the general public.

Guidance on the questionnaire

This survey is divided into the following parts:

- **Part 1: About you** – questions about yourself and why you are answering this questionnaire.
- **Part 2: General questions section** – on your views on air quality issues. This section does not require technical or expert knowledge of the Directives, and anyone can answer.
- **Part 3: Specialised questions section** – on your views on air quality measures and their impacts. This section focuses on more technical aspects of the topics/measures considered by the Directives' revision and may therefore require expert knowledge to answer. This section can be skipped, if preferred.
- **Part 4: Concluding questions & remarks** - share your thoughts on topics not covered by the questions and provide further information. This section invites you provide any additional comments or elaborate on relevant issues that have not been addressed by the questions.

We estimate that replying to all questions would take about 15 to 30 minutes. Please note that not all questions in the questionnaire need to be answered. In addition, all 'mandatory' questions include an "I do not know/not relevant" or "No opinion" option that you can use when you do not know the answer or do not have an opinion.

You are invited to respond to the best of your abilities or knowledge of the topic. Please use open fields only if there is information to be added that is strictly relevant to the related question.

The results of the questionnaire and a stand-alone summary of the results of the consultation will be produced (to be published [here](#)). The analysis of the responses will be included in the impact assessment supporting the revision of the Ambient Air Quality Directives. Please take note of the specific privacy statement for this consultation which explains how personal data and contributions will be dealt with.

In the interest of transparency, if you are replying on behalf of an organisation, please register with the [register of interest representatives](#) if you have not already done so. Registering commits you to complying with a Code of Conduct. If you do not wish to register, your organisation's contribution will be treated and published together with those received from individuals.

Your voice matters and we are grateful to you for taking the time to complete this consultation.

About you

* Language of my contribution

- ☐ Bulgarian
- ☐ Croatian
- ☐ Czech
- ☐ Danish
- ☐ Dutch
- ☒ English
- ☐ Estonian
- ☐ Finnish
- ☐ French
- ☐ German
- ☐ Greek
- ☐ Hungarian
- ☐ Irish
- ☐ Italian
- ☐ Latvian
- ☐ Lithuanian
- ☐ Maltese
- ☐ Polish
- ☐ Portuguese
- ☐ Romanian
- ☐ Slovak
- ☐ Slovenian
- ☐ Spanish
- ☐ Swedish

* I am giving my contribution as

- ☐ Academic/research institution
- ☐ Business association
- ☐ Company/business organisation
- ☐ Consumer organisation
- ☐ EU citizen
- ☐ Environmental organisation
- ☐ Non-EU citizen
- ☒ Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- ☐ Public authority
- ☐ Trade union
- ☐ Other

* First name

Sophie

* Surname

Perroud

* Email (this won't be published)

sophie@env-health.org

* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

- | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Afghanistan | <input type="radio"/> Djibouti | <input type="radio"/> Libya | <input type="radio"/> Saint Martin |
| <input type="radio"/> Åland Islands | <input type="radio"/> Dominica | <input type="radio"/> Liechtenstein | <input type="radio"/> Saint Pierre and Miquelon |
| <input type="radio"/> Albania | <input type="radio"/> Dominican Republic | <input type="radio"/> Lithuania | <input type="radio"/> Saint Vincent and the Grenadines |
| <input type="radio"/> Algeria | <input type="radio"/> Ecuador | <input type="radio"/> Luxembourg | <input type="radio"/> Samoa |
| <input type="radio"/> American Samoa | <input type="radio"/> Egypt | <input type="radio"/> Macau | <input type="radio"/> San Marino |
| <input type="radio"/> Andorra | <input type="radio"/> El Salvador | <input type="radio"/> Madagascar | <input type="radio"/> São Tomé and Príncipe |
| <input type="radio"/> Angola | <input type="radio"/> Equatorial Guinea | <input type="radio"/> Malawi | <input type="radio"/> Saudi Arabia |
| <input type="radio"/> Anguilla | <input type="radio"/> Eritrea | <input type="radio"/> Malaysia | <input type="radio"/> Senegal |

- | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Antarctica | <input type="radio"/> Estonia | <input type="radio"/> Maldives | <input type="radio"/> Serbia |
| <input type="radio"/> Antigua and Barbuda | <input type="radio"/> Eswatini | <input type="radio"/> Mali | <input type="radio"/> Seychelles |
| <input type="radio"/> Argentina | <input type="radio"/> Ethiopia | <input type="radio"/> Malta | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone |
| <input type="radio"/> Armenia | <input type="radio"/> Falkland Islands | <input type="radio"/> Marshall Islands | <input type="radio"/> Singapore |
| <input type="radio"/> Aruba | <input type="radio"/> Faroe Islands | <input type="radio"/> Martinique | <input type="radio"/> Sint Maarten |
| <input type="radio"/> Australia | <input type="radio"/> Fiji | <input type="radio"/> Mauritania | <input type="radio"/> Slovakia |
| <input type="radio"/> Austria | <input type="radio"/> Finland | <input type="radio"/> Mauritius | <input type="radio"/> Slovenia |
| <input type="radio"/> Azerbaijan | <input type="radio"/> France | <input type="radio"/> Mayotte | <input type="radio"/> Solomon Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Bahamas | <input type="radio"/> French Guiana | <input type="radio"/> Mexico | <input type="radio"/> Somalia |
| <input type="radio"/> Bahrain | <input type="radio"/> French Polynesia | <input type="radio"/> Micronesia | <input type="radio"/> South Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Bangladesh | <input type="radio"/> French Southern and Antarctic Lands | <input type="radio"/> Moldova | <input type="radio"/> South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Barbados | <input type="radio"/> Gabon | <input type="radio"/> Monaco | <input type="radio"/> South Korea |
| <input type="radio"/> Belarus | <input type="radio"/> Georgia | <input type="radio"/> Mongolia | <input type="radio"/> South Sudan |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Belgium | <input type="radio"/> Germany | <input type="radio"/> Montenegro | <input type="radio"/> Spain |
| <input type="radio"/> Belize | <input type="radio"/> Ghana | <input type="radio"/> Montserrat | <input type="radio"/> Sri Lanka |
| <input type="radio"/> Benin | <input type="radio"/> Gibraltar | <input type="radio"/> Morocco | <input type="radio"/> Sudan |
| <input type="radio"/> Bermuda | <input type="radio"/> Greece | <input type="radio"/> Mozambique | <input type="radio"/> Suriname |
| <input type="radio"/> Bhutan | <input type="radio"/> Greenland | <input type="radio"/> Myanmar/Burma | <input type="radio"/> Svalbard and Jan Mayen |
| <input type="radio"/> Bolivia | <input type="radio"/> Grenada | <input type="radio"/> Namibia | <input type="radio"/> Sweden |
| <input type="radio"/> Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba | <input type="radio"/> Guadeloupe | <input type="radio"/> Nauru | <input type="radio"/> Switzerland |
| <input type="radio"/> Bosnia and Herzegovina | <input type="radio"/> Guam | <input type="radio"/> Nepal | <input type="radio"/> Syria |
| <input type="radio"/> Botswana | <input type="radio"/> Guatemala | <input type="radio"/> Netherlands | <input type="radio"/> Taiwan |
| <input type="radio"/> Bouvet Island | <input type="radio"/> Guernsey | <input type="radio"/> New Caledonia | <input type="radio"/> Tajikistan |
| <input type="radio"/> Brazil | <input type="radio"/> Guinea | <input type="radio"/> New Zealand | <input type="radio"/> Tanzania |
| <input type="radio"/> British Indian Ocean Territory | <input type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau | <input type="radio"/> Nicaragua | <input type="radio"/> Thailand |

- | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| British Virgin Islands | Guyana | Niger | The Gambia |
| Brunei | Haiti | Nigeria | Timor-Leste |
| Bulgaria | Heard Island and McDonald Islands | Niue | Togo |
| Burkina Faso | Honduras | Norfolk Island | Tokelau |
| Burundi | Hong Kong | Northern Mariana Islands | Tonga |
| Cambodia | Hungary | North Korea | Trinidad and Tobago |
| Cameroon | Iceland | North Macedonia | Tunisia |
| Canada | India | Norway | Turkey |
| Cape Verde | Indonesia | Oman | Turkmenistan |
| Cayman Islands | Iran | Pakistan | Turks and Caicos Islands |
| Central African Republic | Iraq | Palau | Tuvalu |
| Chad | Ireland | Palestine | Uganda |
| Chile | Isle of Man | Panama | Ukraine |
| China | Israel | Papua New Guinea | United Arab Emirates |
| Christmas Island | Italy | Paraguay | United Kingdom |
| Clipperton | Jamaica | Peru | United States |
| Cocos (Keeling) Islands | Japan | Philippines | United States Minor Outlying Islands |
| Colombia | Jersey | Pitcairn Islands | Uruguay |
| Comoros | Jordan | Poland | US Virgin Islands |
| Congo | Kazakhstan | Portugal | Uzbekistan |
| Cook Islands | Kenya | Puerto Rico | Vanuatu |
| Costa Rica | Kiribati | Qatar | Vatican City |
| Côte d'Ivoire | Kosovo | Réunion | Venezuela |
| Croatia | Kuwait | Romania | Vietnam |
| Cuba | Kyrgyzstan | Russia | Wallis and Futuna |

- | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Curaçao | <input type="radio"/> Laos | <input type="radio"/> Rwanda | <input type="radio"/> Western Sahara |
| <input type="radio"/> Cyprus | <input type="radio"/> Latvia | <input type="radio"/> Saint Barthélemy | <input type="radio"/> Yemen |
| <input type="radio"/> Czechia | <input type="radio"/> Lebanon | <input type="radio"/> Saint Helena | <input type="radio"/> Zambia |
| | | Ascension and Tristan da Cunha | |
| <input type="radio"/> Democratic Republic of the Congo | <input type="radio"/> Lesotho | <input type="radio"/> Saint Kitts and Nevis | <input type="radio"/> Zimbabwe |
| <input type="radio"/> Denmark | <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Saint Lucia | |

* Organisation name

255 character(s) maximum

Health and Environment Alliance (HEAL)

* Organisation size

- ☐ Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- ☒ Small (10 to 49 employees)
- ☐ Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- ☐ Large (250 or more)

* Scope

- ☒ International
- ☐ Local
- ☐ National
- ☐ Regional

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the [transparency register](#). It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

00723343929-96

* Please indicate the sector(s) you are active in

between 1 and 3 choices

between 1 and 3 answered rows

- ☐ air quality management
- ☐ air quality monitoring

- ☐ agriculture / food
- ☒ biodiversity and/or environment
- ☒ energy
- ☐ government
- ☐ health care
- ☐ investment and finance
- ☐ manufacturing
- ☒ public health
- ☐ raw materials extraction / primary processing
- ☐ scientific research
- ☐ transport
- ☐ none of the above sectors
- ☐ other
- ☐ I do not know, or I do not want to answer

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. **For the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published.** Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

* Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

☒ Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

☒ **Public**

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

☒ I agree with the [personal data protection provisions](#)

Part 2: General questions section

1. How important is having good air quality to you?

- ☒ Very important
- ☐ Important
- ☐ Of minor importance
- ☐ Not important at all
- ☐ No opinion

2. How concerned are you about the levels of air pollution to which you are usually exposed?

- ☒ Very concerned
- ☐ Concerned
- ☐ Slightly concerned
- ☐ Not concerned at all
- ☐ No opinion

3. Are you concerned about the following impacts that air pollution may have in your local area?

	Not at all	To some extent	To a large extent	Fully	No opinion
Impacts on health of the general population	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Impacts on my health or the health of my family members	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Impacts on health of vulnerable groups (including children, elderly, people with pre-existing health conditions)					
Impacts on businesses and small/medium enterprises (including lost work days due to air pollution)					
Impacts on agriculture and crops (including on plant growth and animal health)					
Impacts on natural environment (including pollution of water bodies, or reduced biodiversity)					
Impacts on buildings and infrastructure (including corrosion or discoloration of buildings)					

4. Which air pollutants are you concerned about?

- ☒ Fine particulate matter (PM_{2,5})
- ☒ Particulate matter (PM₁₀)
- ☒ Sulphur dioxide (SO₂)
- ☒ Nitrogen dioxide (NO₂)
- ☒ Ground-level ozone (O₃)
- ☒ Carbon monoxide (CO)
- ☒ Benzene (C₆H₆)
- ☒ Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (including benzo(a)pyrene)
- ☒ Arsenic (As)
- ☒ Cadmium (Cd)

- ☒ Mercury (Hg)
- ☒ Nickel (Ni)
- ☒ Lead (Pb)
- ☒ Ultra-fine particles
- ☒ Black carbon and/or elemental carbon
- ☒ Ammonia (NH₃)
- ☒ Methane (CH₄)
- ☒ Non-methane volatile organic compounds (i.e. organic compounds capable of producing photochemical oxidants by reaction with nitrogen oxides in the presence of sunlight)
- ☐ None
- ☐ No opinion
- ☒ Other

If "other", please specify:

100 character(s) maximum

Indoor air pollution and aeroallergens, pollutant mixtures and complex exposures

5. Would you like to see more action to improve air quality? And if so, to what extent?

- ☒ Yes, a **significant** increase in action and ambition to tackle air pollution
- ☐ Yes, a **moderate** increase in action and ambition to tackle air pollution
- ☐ Yes, a **small** increase in action and ambition to tackle air pollution
- ☐ No, current action and ambition to tackle air pollution issues **is adequate**
- ☐ No opinion

6. At what level should further action be taken?

Please rank the following from 1 – where most action needs to be taken to 5 – where least action needs to be taken

	1	2	3	4	5
International	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
European	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
National	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Regional	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Local / city	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

7. To what extent would you be willing to change your own way of living to contribute to improving air quality in your country / region / city?

	Not at all	To some extent	To a large extent	Fully	I do not know	N/A
Driving less by car, including in cities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Driving smaller or fuel-efficient cars	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Using more public transport, walking, cycling	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Changing my habits in residential heating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Investing in sustainable heating systems	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Improving the energy efficiency of my home	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Making conscious consumption choices to reduce emissions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Other	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

If "other", please specify:

100 character(s) maximum

Achieving clean air needs clear, ambitious regulatory frameworks, to facilitate clean air behaviour.

Policy area 1: Closer alignment of the EU air quality standards with scientific knowledge including the latest recommendations of the World Health Organization (WHO).

The Ambient Air Quality Directives set air quality standards for 13 air pollutants. For several air pollutants, these standards are not as stringent as recommended by the World Health Organization via their 'Air Quality Guidelines' (which themselves have recently been updated), in particular for the most harmful fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}). This revision of EU rules will consider and assess different policy options and scenarios to more closely align EU air quality standards with the latest scientific evidence.

8. Do you think that [EU air quality standards](#) should be made more stringent to bring them in line with the updated World Health Organization Air Quality Guidelines and latest scientific evidence?

- ☒ Yes – EU air quality standards should be made more stringent, fully aligned with the latest WHO recommendations
- ☐ Partly – EU air quality standards should be made more stringent, but only partially aligned with the latest WHO recommendations
- ☐ No – current air quality standards are sufficient
- ☐ No opinion

9. Where (at which locations) should EU air quality standards apply?

- ☒ Everywhere, including at locations to which members of the public do not have access, including industrial installations or motorways
- ☐ At all locations where there is fixed habitation or there are commercial facilities, as well as at all locations to which members of the public have access (including, for example, roads and sidewalks)
- ☐ At all locations where there is fixed habitation or there are commercial facilities
- ☐ Only at locations that are representative of the exposure to air pollutants of the general population
- ☐ No opinion

10. How quickly should any revised EU air quality standards be achieved?

- ☒ As soon as possible
- ☐ By 2025 at the latest
- ☐ By 2030 at the latest
- ☐ By 2040 at the latest
- ☐ By 2050 at the latest
- ☐ No opinion

11. Do you have any other comments regarding the consideration to amend the EU's air quality standards (this could capture existing achievement of or options to amend the standards, and the feasibility or impacts of such options)?

800 character(s) maximum

Transition to EU standards full alignment with WHO guidelines & latest science by 2030 latest, broaden scope of standards & monitoring, include other harmful pollutants, alert system for all pollutants for pollution peaks, provide alerts to vulnerable groups, standardise air quality regular information to always include information on health threats, acknowledge & address inequalities, prioritise health & enable policy coherence & full enforcement, establish mechanism to automatically update & review air quality laws, speed up implementation, issue guidance for air quality monitoring stations (also in pre-accession countries), guidance on citizens science with best practice for measurements & communicating results, better integrate official & citizen science results, involve health sector.

Policy area 2: improving the current air quality legislative framework (including aspects such as penalties and public information).

There have been substantial delays in taking appropriate and effective measures to meet all EU air quality standards throughout Member States. Improvements to the legislative framework, including related to access to justice in case of persistent exceedance, as well as to public information, may facilitate further air quality action. This revision of EU rules will consider and assess different policy options for amended provisions on sanctions and penalties to be established in national systems for non-fulfilment of relevant obligations deriving from the Directives. It will also consider options for a stronger harmonisation of public information.

12. Do you believe that the current provisions on penalties in the Ambient Air Quality Directives are sufficient for Member States to comply with EU air quality standards?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Partly
- ☒ No
- ☐ No opinion

13. Do you believe that the Ambient Air Quality Directives should facilitate access to justice, including compensation for health damages due to air pollution (suffered by groups and/or individuals)?

- ☒ Yes – both stronger facilitation of access to justice in general, as well as of compensation for health damages due to air pollution in particular
- ☐ Partly – stronger facilitation of access to justice related to air pollution
- ☐ Partly – compensation for health damages due to air pollution
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

14. How well informed do you feel about air quality in your country / region / city?

- ☐ Very well informed
- ☐ Well informed
- ☒ Somewhat informed
- ☐ Little informed
- ☐ Not informed at all
- ☐ No opinion

15. Which of the following types of information would you want to have easier access to? (Multiple answers possible)

- ☒ (Real-time) air quality data / Up-to-date average concentrations
- ☒ Annual reports specifically targeted to the general public
- ☒ Air pollution forecasts
- ☒ Air quality plans and measures the authorities are taking to improve air quality
- ☒ Air quality monitoring networks (e.g. location of monitoring stations, pollutants monitored, etc.)
- ☒ Information on whether air quality standards are respected
- ☒ Air quality benchmarks that allow comparison with other cities/regions
- ☒ Access to downloadable historical data sets
- ☒ Information on specific precautions and preventative actions
- ☒ General information on short term & long term health risks of air pollution
- ☒ Alert/ targeted messaging during high pollution events
- ☒ Information on citizens' rights and possible actions if air quality standards are not respected
- ☒ Other

If "other", please specify:

100 character(s) maximum

Standardise air quality regular information systems to always include information on health threats

16. Are there any other elements related to the legislative framework of the Ambient Air Quality Directives (i.e. around defining the types of air quality standards and actions exceedances trigger, governance and enforcement of actions at MS level, and around information provided to the public) that you would consider effective in facilitating the achievement of its objectives?

800 character(s) maximum

In order to protect everyone's health, EU legally binding limits applying everywhere, at all times, should remain the basis. Any other type of standard should only come as an addition provided it would result in additional health protection as compared to the limit values.

Member States or subnational territorial entities should always be encouraged to be more ambitious than the EU standards in continuously cutting air pollution at source, as there is no safe level of air pollution.

The EU should also broaden the scope of air quality standards and monitoring, to include other pollutants harmful for health such as mercury, black carbon, ultrafine particles and ammonia, and indoor air pollution.

Policy area 3: strengthening of air quality monitoring, modelling and plans.

The Ambient Air Quality Directives have guided the establishment of a robust system for air quality assessment and have framed competent authorities' action to achieve cleaner air via air quality plans (i.e. the action taken when and where exceedances occur). However, the criteria on air quality monitoring and modelling could be refined to increase the comparability of air quality data. This revision of EU rules will explore solutions to improve, simplify and increase precision and coherence of requirements with regard to air quality monitoring and modelling, and options to facilitate further the effectiveness of air quality plans.

17. Do you see a need to strengthen further the assessment of air quality?

(Multiple answers possible)

- ☒ Yes, we need additional monitoring everywhere, whether high pollution or low pollution levels
- ☐ Yes, we need additional monitoring where pollution may be a concern
- ☐ Yes, we need additional monitoring of background concentrations (i.e. average pollution levels)
- ☐ Yes, we need additional monitoring at locations with pollution peaks due to industrial emissions
- ☐ Yes, we need additional monitoring at locations with pollution peaks due to traffic emissions
- ☒ Yes, we need to ensure detailed modelling of air quality across the EU
- ☐ Yes, we need to ensure detailed modelling of air quality at locations with pollution peaks (e.g. due to traffic or industry)
- ☒ Yes, we need to ensure detailed modelling and forecasting of air pollution episodes
- ☐ No, we have sufficient data on air quality
- ☐ No opinion

18. Do you see a need to improve air quality plans developed by local authorities to address exceedances of EU air quality standards? (Multiple answers possible)

- ☒ Yes, air quality plans need to be clearer on the specific sources and origin of air pollution
- ☒ Yes, air quality plans need to explain the health consequences of air pollution
- ☒ Yes, air quality plans need to assign responsibilities (i.e. who needs to act)
- ☒ Yes, air quality plans need to quantify the costs and benefits of action
- ☒ Yes, air quality plans need to spell out how each measure contributes to solving pollution problems
- ☒ Yes, air quality plans need to estimate by when action would have resolved the pollution problem
- ☒ Yes, air quality plans need to be followed-up by a regular assessment of their implementation
- ☐ No need to change air quality plans
- ☐ No need to change air quality plans, but they need to be better implemented
- ☐ No opinion

19. Do you have any comments regarding the improvements of monitoring, modelling and the content of air quality plans (e.g. existing effectiveness, options to improve these elements, and the feasibility or impacts of such options)?

800 character(s) maximum

Emphasis should be put on prevention through cutting air pollution at every source.

As short term measures, the European Commission should take steps to standardise the system for air pollution alerts across the EU, especially for particulate matter (PM). It should introduce the obligation to adopt short-term action plans to tackle high PM pollution events.

Clear sanctions should be set when any type of standard is exceeded, including exposure reduction targets.

Part 3: Specialised questions section

*** 20. Please indicate if you would like to answer this more specialised questions section on your views on air quality measures and their impacts. This section focuses on more technical aspects of the topics/measures considered by the Directives' revision**



Yes, I would like to reply to this section with more specialised questions (to Part 3)

- ☐ No, I would like to skip ahead to the final section of this questionnaire (to Part 4)

21. How important are the following options for *policy area 1 to improve the effectiveness of the Ambient Air Quality Directives?**

*Closer alignment of the EU air quality standards with scientific knowledge including the latest recommendations of the World Health Organization (WHO).

	Of high importance	Of medium importance	Of low importance	Not at all important	No opinion
a) Ensure achievement of existing EU air quality standards	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Align EU air quality standards with World Health Organization recommendations	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c) Mandate that all air quality standards are met in general (i.e. based on the average exposure of the general population)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
d) Mandate that all air quality standards are met everywhere (i.e. including at 'pollution hotspots' such as roadside or downwind from industry)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e) Establish legally enforceable limit values for all air pollutants	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f) Set aspirational long-term objectives to meet all World Health Organization					

(WHO) recommendations (i. e. as per updated WHO Air Quality Guidelines)					
---	--	--	--	--	--

Please explain your answer, if you wish






100 character(s) maximum

	<i>100 character(s) maximum</i>
a) Ensure achievement of existing EU air quality standards	Achieve the objective of the directive and EU Environmental Action Programmes
b) Align EU air quality standards with World Health Organization recommendations	The science is clear, and the gap between the evidence and health-protective legislation is too wide.
c) Mandate that all air quality standards are met in general (i.e. based on the average exposure of the general population)	
d) Mandate that all air quality standards are met everywhere (i.e. including at ‘pollution hotspots’ such as roadside or downwind from industry)	This is key to protect vulnerable groups including children; elderly; people with chronic respiratory, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes; people living in poverty.
e) Establish legally enforceable limit values for all air pollutants	See fitness check conclusion: “limit values have been more effective than any other type of air quality standards”
f) Set aspirational long-term objectives to meet all World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations (i.e. as per updated WHO Air Quality Guidelines)	Short term urgent action is required to protect health. Aspirational objectives don’t fit in a legal text & create uncertainty.
Other	

22. How important are the following options for *policy area 2 to improve the effectiveness of the Ambient Air Quality Directives?**

* Improving the current air quality legislative framework (including aspects such as penalties and public information).

	Of high importance	Of medium importance	Of low importance	Not at all important	No opinion
a) Make it easier to adjust EU air quality standards to the evolving technical and scientific progress	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Further define the different types of air quality standards and the actions their exceedances would trigger	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c) Expand requirements for action by national / regional / local authorities in case of exceedances	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d) Establish additional provisions for air quality plans, including on who to involve in their preparation	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e) Expand the provision on penalties related to air pollution	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f) Add provisions for access to justice and for compensation	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

for health damage from air pollution					
g) Expand the requirements on the provision of information (e.g. on health impacts)					

Please explain your answer, if you wish

100 character(s) maximum

	<i>100 character(s) maximum</i>
a) Make it easier to adjust EU air quality standards to the evolving technical and scientific progress	Establish a mechanism to automatically update and review the air quality legislation, speed up its implementation
b) Further define the different types of air quality standards and the actions their exceedances would trigger	
c) Expand requirements for action by national / regional / local authorities in case of exceedances	
d) Establish additional provisions for air quality plans, including on who to involve in their preparation	
e) Expand the provision on penalties related to air pollution	
f) Add provisions for access to justice and for compensation for health damage from air pollution	
g) Expand the requirements on the provision of information (e.g. on health impacts)	EU harmonisation on information for pollution peaks and on health threats necessary.
Other	

23. How important are the following options for *policy area 3* to improve the effectiveness of the Ambient Air Quality Directives?

* Strengthening of air quality monitoring, modelling and plans.

	Of high importance	Of medium importance	Of low importance	Not at all important	No opinion
a) Establish more detailed rules on the location of sampling points	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Expand monitoring requirements to broader set of harmful air pollutants	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c) Enable enhanced use of modelling for air quality assessment	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
d) Further specify minimum elements required of air quality plans (e. g. cost-benefit analysis, projections, etc.)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answer, if you wish

100 character(s) maximum

	<i>100 character(s) maximum</i>
a) Establish more detailed rules on the location of sampling points	See HEAL demands 8 and 9 in position paper
b) Expand monitoring requirements to broader set of harmful air pollutants	Very important, see HEAL position paper
c) Enable enhanced use of modelling for air quality assessment	
d) Further specify minimum elements required of air quality plans (e.g. cost-benefit analysis, projections, etc.)	In the EU Urban Air Quality Partnership a guidance document on air quality plans was developed: https://bit.ly/3F8FiGk
Other	

24. How feasible (i.e. technically, politically, from a cost perspective, etc.) would the following policy measures related to *policy area 1 be to implement?**

*Closer alignment of the EU air quality standards with scientific knowledge including the latest recommendations of the World Health Organization (WHO).

	High feasibility	Medium feasibility	Low feasibility	Not at all feasible	No opinion
a) Ensure achievement of existing EU air quality standards	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Align EU air quality standards with World Health Organization recommendations	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c) Mandate that all air quality standards are met in general (i.e. based on the average exposure of the general population)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
d) Mandate that all air quality standards are met everywhere (i.e. including at 'pollution hotspots' such as roadside or downwind from industry)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e) Establish legally enforceable limit values for all air pollutants	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f) Set aspirational long-term objectives to meet all World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations (i.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

e. as per updated WHO Air Quality Guidelines)					
---	--	--	--	--	--

Please explain your answer, if you wish






100 character(s) maximum

	<i>100 character(s) maximum</i>
a) Ensure achievement of existing EU air quality standards	Depends on political will, national governments need to urgently step up their commitment to clean action
b) Align EU air quality standards with World Health Organization recommendations	Depends on political will, national governments need to urgently step up their commitment to clean action
c) Mandate that all air quality standards are met in general (i.e. based on the average exposure of the general population)	
d) Mandate that all air quality standards are met everywhere (i.e. including at ‘pollution hotspots’ such as roadside or downwind from industry)	Depends on political will, national governments need to urgently step up their commitment to clean action
e) Establish legally enforceable limit values for all air pollutants	Depends on political will, national governments need to urgently step up their commitment to clean action
f) Set aspirational long-term objectives to meet all World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations (i.e. as per updated WHO Air Quality Guidelines)	Out of the scope of the urgency to act to protect health
Other	

25. How feasible (i.e. technically, politically, from a cost perspective, etc.) would the following policy measures related to *policy area 2 be to implement?**

* Improving the current air quality legislative framework (including aspects such as penalties and public information).

	High feasibility	Medium feasibility	Low feasibility	Not at all feasible	No opinion
a) Make it easier to adjust EU air quality standards to the evolving technical and scientific progress	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Further define the different types of air quality standards and the actions their exceedances would trigger	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c) Expand requirements for action by national / regional / local authorities in case of exceedances	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d) Establish additional provisions for air quality plans, including on who to involve in their preparation	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e) Expand the provision on penalties related to air pollution	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f) Add provisions for access to justice and for compensation for health damage from air pollution	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

g) Expand the requirements on the provision of information (e.g. on health impacts)					
---	---	---	--	---	---

Please explain your answer, if you wish

100 character(s) maximum

	<i>100 character(s) maximum</i>
a) Make it easier to adjust EU air quality standards to the evolving technical and scientific progress	Stronger political will for clean air needed
b) Further define the different types of air quality standards and the actions their exceedances would trigger	Stronger political will for clean air needed
c) Expand requirements for action by national / regional / local authorities in case of exceedances	Stronger political will for clean air needed
d) Establish additional provisions for air quality plans, including on who to involve in their preparation	Stronger political will for clean air needed
e) Expand the provision on penalties related to air pollution	Stronger political will for clean air needed
f) Add provisions for access to justice and for compensation for health damage from air pollution	Stronger political will for clean air needed
g) Expand the requirements on the provision of information (e.g. on health impacts)	Stronger political will for clean air needed
Other	

26. How feasible (i.e. technically, politically, from a cost perspective, etc.) would the following policy measures related to *policy area 3 be to implement?**

* Strengthening of air quality monitoring, modelling and plans.

	High feasibility	Medium feasibility	Low feasibility	Not at all feasible	No opinion
a) Establish more detailed rules on the location of sampling points	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Expand monitoring requirements to broader set of harmful air pollutants	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c) Enable enhanced use of modelling for air quality assessment	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d) Further specify minimum elements required of air quality plans (e. g. cost-benefit analysis, projections, etc.)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answer, if you wish

100 character(s) maximum

	<i>100 character(s) maximum</i>
a) Establish more detailed rules on the location of sampling points	Political will
b) Expand monitoring requirements to broader set of harmful air pollutants	Political will
c) Enable enhanced use of modelling for air quality assessment	Political will
d) Further specify minimum elements required of air quality plans (e.g. cost-benefit analysis, projections, etc.)	Political will
Other	

27. If you believe that some measures listed above are incoherent with EU strategies (e.g. the European Green Deal), incoherent with EU sectoral policies (e.g. on transport, energy or agriculture), or incoherent with national level policies, could you please briefly elaborate on your answer?

600 character(s) maximum

Some existing policy measures outside on the AAQD are currently incoherent with EU's and Member States ability to protect health from air pollution. These include: continued use and subsidizing of fossil fuels including gas, lack of measures to massively and urgently shift to public transportation and active mobility in every urban environment.

Part 4: Concluding questions & remarks

28. What is your level of knowledge of the following?

	Excellent knowledge / understanding	Good knowledge / understanding	Some knowledge / understanding	Little knowledge / understanding	None
Issue of air pollution in general	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Different pollutants and their sources	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Areas most affected by air pollution	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Detrimental impacts of exposure to air pollution	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
International initiatives to tackle air pollution (including World Health Organization guidelines)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
European legislation to tackle air pollution	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Implementation of European legislation to tackle air pollution	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

29. If you wish to expand on any of your answers or if you wish to add comments or information on anything else, which is relevant to the Impact Assessment, please do so in the box below.

800 character(s) maximum

The main question is not “what is currently feasible” to reach WHO’s recommendations but “how to make it happen as soon as possible & by 2030 at the latest”. A higher vulnerability of socially deprived people or the fact that living close to busy roads increases children’s asthma risk has so far not been addressed: a more comprehensive policy framework is needed. The EU must lead a global urgent cutting of air pollution, issue guidance on location & number of sampling points to the public authorities of pre-accession countries in their process of aligning national air quality standards with EU law. Air pollution & climate change largely originate from same sources: combustion of fossil fuels. Stricter air quality standards fuel needed climate action, win-win measures should be prioritised.

30. If you consider there are materials / publications available online that should be considered further in relation to this Impact Assessment exercise, please feel free to describe them (title and author) in the box below and include any relevant links

800 character(s) maximum

- ELAPSE study on long term to low levels 2021 <https://www.bmj.com/content/374/bmj.n1904>
- ISGlobal study on cities 2021 [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanplh/article/PIIS2542-5196\(20\)30272-2/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanplh/article/PIIS2542-5196(20)30272-2/fulltext)
- HEAL Balkan Chronic coal coal report 2019 <https://www.env-health.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Chronic-Coal-Pollution-report.pdf>
- WHO 2019 assessment report on environmental health inequalities https://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0010/157969/e96194.pdf
- Lancet Countdown Report 2021 [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(21\)01787-6/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(21)01787-6/fulltext)
- WHO Europe in chronic diseases (see HEAL’s Q&A) <https://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/environment-and-health/air-quality/publications/2019/noncommunicable-diseases-and-air-pollution-2019>

31. Do you have additional information that you would like to share in a concise document such as a position paper? (This is optional and will serve as additional background to better understand your position.)

The maximum file size is 1MB

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

e0834bc0-7251-43a1-ae83-2b55d67b3eee/HEAL_10-demands_-air-quality_September21.pdf

Background Documents

Cuadro ES

Lentelė LT

Quadro PT

Tabel DA

Tabel ET

Tabel NL

Tabela PL

Tabela SL

Tabell SV

Tabella IT

Tabella MT

Tabelle DE

Tabelul RO

Tableau FR

Tablica HR

Tabula LV

Tabulka CS

Tabuľka SK

Taulukko FI.

Tblzat HU

ί EL

Таблица BG

Contact

ENV-AAQD-REVISION@ec.europa.eu