



















Response to the EU Recast Regulation on Persistent Organic Pollutants (recast of Regulation (EC) No 850/2004)

To: Members of the European Parliament

Brussels, 4 October 2018

Dear Member of the European Parliament,

We are writing to you to express our concerns about some of the proposed amendments and changes to the Regulation on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs)¹, which will be voted on by the ENVI Committee on October 10th.

A number of those amendments would go directly against priority objective 3 of the 7th Environment Action Programme to 2020, to safeguard "the Union's citizens from environment-related pressures and risks to health and well-being", would weaken the Regulation substantially and, in some cases, would even violate EU's international obligations under the Stockholm Convention.

Below are a number of critical points detailing our concerns. A full analysis of the proposed amendments is **attached to this letter** (see Annex II).

The proposed recast would:

Authorize the recycling of waste containing POPs into new products without adequate controls, despite numerous studies showing that this practice leads to POPs contamination in consumer goods, including children's products, hair accessories, kitchen utensils, and food packaging² (Article 4). That provision would further undermine the EU's goal of a circular economy by allowing the contamination of material cycles and recycled products such as toys, as demonstrated by a study of children's toys made

¹ COM(2018)0144 2018/0070 (COD) 22 March 2018; Parliament Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety, 2018/0070(COD), draft report PE622.205 (amendments 1-12, 24 May 2018), and tabled amendments, PE623.927 (amendments 13-56, 10 August 2018).

² DiGangi, J. and J. Strakova (2016). "Recycling of plastics containing brominated flame retardants leads to contamination of plastic childrens toys." Organohalog Compd 78(2016): 9-11. DiGangi, J., J. Strakova and L. Bell (2017). POPs Recycling Contaminates Children's Toys with Toxic Flame Retardants, IPEN, Arnika: 20. DiGangi, J., J. Strakova and A. Watson (2011). "A survey of PBDEs in recycled carpet padding." Organohalog Compd 73: 2067-2070. Guzzonato, A., F. Puype and S. J. Harrad (2011). "Evidence of bad recycling practices: BFRs in children's toys and food-contact articles." Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts 19(7): 956-963. Kuang, J., M. A.-E. Abdallah and S. Harrad (2018). "Brominated flame retardants in black plastic kitchen utensils: Concentrations and human exposure implications." Science of The Total Environment 610-611(Supplement C): 1138-1146. Strakova, J. and J. Petrlik (2017a). Toy or Toxic Waste? An Analysis of 47 Plastic Toy and Beauty Products Made from Toxic Recycling: 17. Straková, J. and J. Petrlik (2017b). Hračka nebo toxický odpad? Jak odpoví Stockholmská úmluvy? (Toy or Toxic Waste? What Will Be the Stockholm Convention Response?): 17. Straková, J. and J. Petrlik (2017c). Toxická recyklace aneb Jak mohou nevytříděné odpady kontaminovat spotřební zboží v ČR. Praha, Arnika - program Toxické látky a odpady: 27.

of recycled plastics³ (see Annex I to this letter on POPs concentration limits and recycling);

- Violate the Stockholm Convention Article 8 by requiring socio-economic considerations for the initial proposal in order to list chemicals under the Convention (Article 8.1c, Amendment 4);
- Violate the Stockholm Convention by allowing the manufacture or use of POPs that are banned under the Stockholm Convention, as well as setting POPs content limits that violate the ultimate objective of the Stockholm Convention "to protect human health and the environment from persistent organic pollutants";
- Severely restrict the ability of Member States to engage in the nomination process of a substance as a POP by requiring the use of a centralized nomination proposal dossier prepared by ECHA (Recital 15, Amendment 1);
- Prevent the EU from using the most recent scientific and technical progress action to go beyond the Convention's baseline requirements. The Convention only covers a limited number of POPs due to the length of the international political process. It is critical that the EU can continue to proactively protects its citizens from known toxic substances (Recital 24);
- Limit the public's "right to know" on infringements of the provisions of the Regulation to
 only those cases deemed "appropriate" by each Member State, in contradiction with the
 public's right to know and to participate in environmental decisions as enshrined in the
 Aarhus Convention (Recital 29).

Exposure to POPs has been linked to a number of serious health effects including certain cancers, birth defects, dysfunctional immune and reproductive systems, greater susceptibility to disease, and damages to the central and peripheral nervous system.

We urge you to uphold the EU's global leadership by opposing the changes that would weaken the Regulation in order to effectively protect human health and the environment from POPs and ensure a clean circular economy.

Thank you for your consideration.

Yours sincerely,

Arnika - Toxics and Waste Programme

BUND

Buy Responsibly Foundation

Center for International Environmental Law (CIEL)

Eco-Accord

EEB

Health and Environment Alliance (HEAL)

HEJSupport International

IPEN

Swedish Consumers' Association

ZERO

³ IPEN, <u>Toxic Toy or Toxic Waste? Recycling POPs into new Products – Summary for Decision-Makers.</u>

Annex I - POPs concentration limits and recycling

European NGOs will release a joint study on 16 October showing that PBDEs, which are already banned in new products, are coming back into contact with European consumers including children in products made of recycled plastic.

The study will publish data from an analysis of plastic toys, hair accessories and kitchen utensils purchased in 19 European Countries demonstrating that some of these consumer products contain elevated levels of bromine and would thus be identified as hazardous waste due to the presence of OctaBDE. They would also fail to meet the EU Regulation regarding POPs in products if the items were composed of new rather than recycled plastics. One of the analysed toys contained the highest concentration of PBDEs (3318 ppm or 0.3% of product weight) among all consumer products IPEN and Arnika have analysed over the past 3 years. Despite the elevated concentrations of POPs in the tested items, the products do not break any legislative limit, because they are made of recycled materials.

We call on you, the members of the ENVI committee, to protect children's health and the environment by closing the EU recycling loophole and to keep hazardous waste out of recycled plastics by refusing exemptions for PBDEs in recycling (for Penta, Hexa, Hepta and Octa-BDE), and above all to implement a most stringent limit for DecaBDE (10 ppm = 0,001 %) for products made of recycled plastics to maintain the same safety standard for products made of virgin as well as recycled plastics. We also urge you to end the extensive exemptions for continuous use of DecaBDE in the aircraft and automotive industries (as proposed by amendment 51 and 52).

E-waste containing PBDEs including DecaBDE must be clearly designated as hazardous to prevent e-waste export to countries that lack regulatory infrastructure and technical and economic capacities for hazardous waste management.

Please see Annex II for an appraisal of the Amendments on the Recast Regulation on Persistent Organic Pollutants

Annex II: NGO Comments on the EU Recast Regulation on Persistent Organic Pollutants (recast of Regulation (EC) No 850/2004)

Original text **EU Recast proposal Proposed amendment** Comment Recital 12 Recital 10 Amendment 15, Recital 10 To adopt provisions that go Obsolete or carelessly managed Obsolete or carelessly managed Obsolete or carelessly managed beyond the provisions laid stockpiles of persistent organic stockpiles of POPs may stockpiles of POPs may down in the Convention could pollutants may seriously seriously endanger the seriously endanger the include measures in addition to endanger the environment and environment and human health environment and human health stricter rules concerning the human health through, for through, for instance, through, for instance, management of such stockpiles. instance, contamination of soil contamination of soil and contamination of soil and the original text should be and ground water. It is and ground water. It is ground water. It is appropriate. kept not to limit the Regulation. appropriate, therefore, to therefore, to lav down stricter appropriate, therefore, to lay rules concerning the adopt provisions that go down stricter rules concerning Also, as proposed in the **beyond the provisions** laid management of such the management of such Amendment, the provisions stockpiles compared to those down in the Convention. stockpiles compared to those around stockpiles of new laid down in the Convention. laid down in the Convention. Stockpiles of prohibited substances should be kept to substances should be treated as Stockpiles of prohibited Stockpiles of prohibited ensure proactive action on substances should be treated as substances should be treated as these. waste, while stockpiles of substances the production or waste, while stockpiles of waste, while stockpiles of use of which is still allowed substances the manufacturing substances the manufacturing or use of which is still allowed or use of which is still allowed should be notified to the authorities and properly should be notified to the should be notified to the supervised. In particular, authorities and properly authorities and properly supervised. In particular, existing stockpiles which supervised. In particular, consist of or contain banned existing stockpiles which existing stockpiles which consist of or contain banned consist of or contain banned persistent organic pollutants should be managed as waste as POPs should be managed as persistent organic pollutants [2] soon as possible. If other POPs should be managed as waste as soon as possible. If substances are banned in the waste as soon as possible. If other substances are banned other substances are banned in future, their stocks should also in the future, their stocks be destroyed without delay and the future, their stocks should should also be destroyed

without delay and no new

also be destroyed without delay

no new stockpiles should be

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
built up. In view of the	and no new stockpiles should	stockpiles should be built up.	
particular problems of certain	be built up. In view of the	In view of the particular	
new Member States, adequate	particular problems of certain	problems of certain Member	
financial and technical	new Member States, adequate	States, adequate financial and	
assistance should be provided	financial and technical	technical assistance should be	
through existing Community	assistance should be provided	provided through existing	
financial instruments, such as	through existing Community	Union financial instruments.	
the Cohesion and Structural	financial instruments, such as		
Funds.	the Cohesion and Structural		
	Funds.		
Recital 13	Recital 11	Recital 11, Amendment 16,	The proposal in the Recast
In line with the Communication	In line with the Protocol and	17	makes a significant change by
from the Commission on the	the Convention, releases of	In line with the Protocol and	removing "as soon as possible".
Community Strategy for	POPs which are unintentional	the Convention, releases of	As proposed by the two
Dioxins, Furans and	by-products of industrial	POPs which are unintentional	Amendments, this should be
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	processes should be identified	by-products of industrial	kept to keep the original
(PCBs), and with the Protocol	and reduced as soon as possible	processes should be identified	meaning of the Recital.
and the Convention, releases of	with the ultimate aim of	and reduced as soon as possible	
persistent organic pollutants	elimination, where feasible.	with the ultimate aim of	
which are unintentional by-	Appropriate national action	elimination, where feasible.	
products of industrial processes	plans, covering all sources and	Appropriate national action	
should be identified and	measures, including those	plans, covering all sources and	
reduced as soon as possible	provided for under existing	measures, including those	
with the ultimate aim of	Union legislation, should be	provided for under existing	
elimination, where feasible.	implemented and developed to	Union legislation, should be	
Appropriate national action	reduce such releases	implemented and developed to	
plans, covering all sources and	continuously and cost-	reduce such releases	
measures, including those	effectively.	continuously and cost-	
provided for under existing	To this end, appropriate tools	effectively <i>as soon as possible</i> .	
Community legislation, should	should be developed in the	To this end, appropriate tools	
be drawn up and implemented	framework of the Convention.		

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
to reduce the releases		should be developed in the	
continuously and cost-		framework of the Convention.	
effectively as soon as possible.			
To this end, appropriate tools			
should be developed in the			
framework of the Convention.			
	Recital 15 (new)	Amendment 1, Recital 15	The new Recital 15
	There is a need to ensure the	There is a need to ensure the	appropriately introduces ECHA
	effective coordination and	effective coordination and	and its technical expertise as a
	management of technical and	management of technical and	resource in the nomination
	administrative aspects of this	administrative aspects of this	process.
	Regulation at Union level. The	Regulation at Union level. The	
	European Chemicals Agency	European Chemicals Agency	However, the proposed
	("the Agency"), established by	("the Agency"), established by	Amendment assigns ECHA
	Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006,	Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006,	power to both decide if
	has the competence and	has the competence and	substances should be
	experience in implementing	experience in implementing	nominated as well as preparing
	Union legislation on chemicals	Union legislation on chemicals	the nomination dossier. This is
	and international agreements	and international agreements	highly problematic since
	on chemicals. The Member	on chemicals. The Member	ECHA's mandate is to
	States and the Agency should,	States and the Agency should,	implement the EU's Chemicals
	therefore, carry out tasks with	therefore, carry out tasks with	Policies, not taking decisions in
	regard to the administrative,	regard to the administrative,	relation to the EU's
	technical and scientific aspects	technical and scientific aspects	international Convention
	of the implementation of this	of the implementation of this	engagement. Deciding on
	Regulation and the exchange of	Regulation and the exchange of	measures such as a SC
	information. The role of the	information. <i>It is necessary</i>	nomination is a political
	Agency should include the	<i>that</i> the role of the Agency	decision and should not be
	preparation and examination of	<i>cover</i> the preparation and	delegated to ECHA
	technical dossiers, including	examination of technical	
	stakeholder consultations, and	dossiers, including stakeholder	

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
	the drawing up of opinions that	consultations, and the drawing	Also, this proposed Amendment
	may be used by the Commission	up of opinions that <i>are to</i> be	would severely limit the ability
	in considering whether to come	used by the Commission in	for Member States to engage in
	forward with a proposal for	considering whether to come	the nomination process.
	listing a substance as a POP in	forward with a proposal for	
	the Convention or the Protocol.	listing a substance as a POP in	The proposed Amendment
	In addition, the Commission,	the Convention or the Protocol.	should be rejected.
	the Member States and the	In addition, the Commission,	
	Agency should cooperate in	the Member States and the	
	order to implement the Union's	Agency should cooperate in	
	international obligations under	order to implement the Union's	
	the Convention effectively.	international obligations under	
		the Convention effectively.	
	Recital 17 (new)	Amendment 19, Recital 17	The content of this proposed
	Substances listed in Part A to	Deleted	new Recital is redundant. It is
	Annex I or Part A to Annex II to		clear from the Convention
	this Regulation should only be	Amendment 20, Recital 17	obligations that manufacture
	allowed to be manufactured	Substances listed in Part A to	may only be allowed for
	and used as closed-system site-	Annex I or Part A to Annex II to	substances listed in Annex A
	limited intermediates if an	this Regulation should only be	where a specific exemption is
	annotation to that effect is	allowed to be manufactured	noted for closed-system site-
	expressly entered in that Annex	and used as closed-system site-	limited intermediates. Also,
	and if the manufacturer	limited intermediates if an	continuation should be
	confirms to the Member State	annotation to that effect is	discouraged and only be
	concerned that the substance is	expressly entered in that Annex	allowed under closely
	only manufactured and used	and if the manufacturer	monitored conditions by an
	under strictly controlled	confirms to the Member State	independent third party and
	conditions.	concerned that the substance is	not through self-confirmation
		only manufactured and used	by manufacturers.
		under strictly controlled	

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		conditions, namely without	The proposed Amendment 19
		posing significant risks to the	to delete should be approved.
		environment or human health	
		and in the absence of any	
		technically feasible	
		alternatives.	
Recital 18	Recital 18	Amendment 21, Recital 18	This amendment aligns the
In accordance with the	In accordance with the	In accordance with the	recital to the amendments to
Convention and the Protocol,	Convention and the Protocol,	Convention and the Protocol,	Article 11(2) and Article 11(3)
information on persistent	information on POPs	information on POPs	and should be approved.
organic pollutants should be	should be provided to other	should be provided to other	
provided to other Parties. The	Parties to those Agreements.	Parties to those Agreements.	
exchange of information with	The exchange of information	The exchange of information	
third countries not party to	with third countries not party	with third countries not party	
those Agreements should also	to those Agreements should	to those Agreements should	
be promoted.	also be promoted	also be promoted	
		Similarly, the Convention	
		requires that each Party must	
		undertake to develop	
		appropriate strategies to	
		identify sites contaminated by	
		POPs, and the Union's Seventh Environment Action	
		Programme, up to 2020, commits the Union and its	
		Member States to stepping up	
		their efforts to remediate	
		contaminated sites.	
		contaminated sites.	

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
Recital 19	Recital 19	Amendment 22 and 23,	The Stockholm Convention
Public awareness of the	Since public awareness of the	Recital 19	Article 10 references public
hazards that persistent organic	hazards that POPs pose to the	Since public awareness of the	awareness programs on POPs'
pollutants pose to the health of	health of present and future	hazards that POPs pose to the	"health and environmental
present and future generations	generations as well as to the	health of present and future	effects and on their
as well as to the environment,	environment, particularly in	generations as well as to the	alternatives".
particularly in developing	developing countries, is often	environment, particularly in	
countries, is often lacking, and	lacking, wide-scale information	developing countries, is often	Also, the obligations by the EU
wide-scale information is	is needed to increase the level	lacking, wide-scale information	under the Aarhus Convention
therefore needed to increase	of caution and <i>public</i>	is needed to increase the level	on public access to
the level of caution and gain	understanding of the	of caution and <i>gain support</i> for	environmental information and
support for restrictions and	<i>rationale</i> for restrictions and	restrictions and bans. In	public participation shall be
bans. In accordance with the	bans. In accordance with the	accordance with the	respected and implemented in
Convention, public awareness	Convention, public awareness	Convention, public awareness	all relevant instances.
programmes on these	programmes on those	programmes on those	
substances, especially for the	substances, especially for the	substances, on their health	Therefore, the two
most vulnerable groups, as well	most vulnerable groups, as well	and environmental effects and	amendments should be
as training of workers,	as training of workers,	on their alternatives,	approved.
scientists, educators, technical	scientists, educators, technical	especially for the most	
and managerial personnel	and managerial personnel	vulnerable groups, as well as	
should be promoted and	should be promoted and	training of workers, scientists,	
facilitated, as appropriate.	facilitated, as appropriate.	educators, technical and	
		managerial personnel should	
		be promoted and facilitated, as	
		appropriate. <i>The Union should</i>	
		ensure access to information	
		and public participation,	
		implementing the UN/ECE	
		Convention on access to	
		information, public	

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		participation in decision	
		making and access to justice in	
		environmental matters (Aarhus	
		Convention), which was	
		approved by the Union on 17	
		February 2005.	
Recital 20	Recital 21	Amendment 24, Recital 21	The proposed recast text is in
Upon request and within	Upon request and within	Upon request and within	opposition to the obligations
available resources, the	available resources, the	available resources, the	under Stockholm Convention to
Commission and the Member	Commission, the Agency and	Commission, the Agency and	ban Persistent Organic
States should cooperate in	the Member States should	the Member States should	Pollutants.
providing appropriate and	cooperate in providing	cooperate in providing	
timely technical assistance	appropriate and timely	appropriate and timely	The proposed revised Recital
designed especially to	technical assistance designed	technical assistance designed	21 should therefore be rejected
strengthen the capacity of	especially to strengthen the	especially to strengthen the	and Amendment 24 should be
developing countries and	capacity of developing	capacity of developing	approved.
countries with economies in	countries and countries with	countries and countries with	
transition to implement the	economies in transition to	economies in transition to	
Convention. Technical	implement the Convention.	implement the Convention.	
assistance should include the	Technical assistance should	Technical assistance should	
development and	include the development and	include the development and	
implementation of suitable	implementation of suitable	implementation of suitable	
alternative products, methods	alternative products, methods	alternative products, methods	
and strategies, inter alia, to the	and strategies, under the	and strategies under the	
use of DDT in disease vector	Convention, to ensure that	Convention.	
control which, under the	POPs only continue to be used		
Convention, can only be used in accordance with World Health	when locally safe, effective and affordable alternatives		
Organisation recommendations	are not available to the		
and guidelines and when locally	country in question.		
safe, effective and affordable	Country in question.		
Sait, thethive allu alluluable			

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
alternatives are not available to			
the country in question.			
Recital 22	Recital 24		The proposed text in the Recast
The Convention and the	The Convention and the		removes provisions to act
Protocol provide that Parties	Protocol provide that Parties		proactively when scientific and
thereto may propose other	thereto may propose other		technical progress provides
substances for international	additional substances for		means to go beyond measures
action and consequently	international action and		to further eliminate POPs to
additional substances may be	consequently additional		protect human health and the
listed under those Agreements,	substances may be listed under		environment. The proposed
in which case this Regulation	those Agreements. In such		deletion should therefore be
should be amended	cases, this Regulation should be		rejected.
accordingly. Furthermore, it	amended accordingly.		
should be possible to modify	Furthermore, it should be		
the existing entries in Annexes	possible to modify the existing		
to this Regulation, inter alia for	entries in Annexes to this		
the purposes of adapting them	Regulation, inter alia for the		
to scientific and technical	purposes of adapting them to		
progress	scientific and technical		
	progress.		
	Recital 25 (new)		In line with its obligations
	The power to adopt acts in		under the Stockholm
	accordance with Article 290 of		Convention, the Commission
	the Treaty should be delegated		can only permit manufacture of
	to the Commission to permit,		substances for exemptions that
	where appropriate, the		are included in the Convention
	manufacture and use of a		annex, as well as only be
	substance listed in Part A to		allowed to set concentration
	Annex I or Part A to Annex II to		limits that are stricter than the
	this Regulation as a closed-		ones in the Convention.
	system site-limited		

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
	intermediate, to establish		The proposed new Recital
	concentration limits for a		should therefore be rejected.
	substance for the purposes of		
	Annexes IV and V and to amend		
	the Annexes to this Regulation		
	in order to adapt them to any		
	change to the list of substances		
	set out in the Annexes to the		
	Convention or the Protocol as		
	well as to modify existing		
	entries or provisions in the		
	Annexes to this Regulation in		
	order to adapt them to		
	scientific and technical		
	progress.		
	Recital 28 (new)		In line with its obligations
	In order to ensure uniform		under the Stockholm
	conditions for the		Convention, the Commission
	implementation of this		can only permit manufacture of
	Regulation, implementing		substances for exemptions that
	powers should be conferred on		are included in the Convention
	the Commission to adopt		annex, as well as only be
	additional measures relating to		allowed to set concentration
	waste management and to		limits that are stricter than the
	specify the minimum		ones in the Convention.
	information to be provided by		
	Member States in monitoring		The proposed new Recital
	the implementation of this		should therefore be rejected.
	Regulation. Those powers		
	should be exercised in		
	accordance with Regulation		

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
	(EU) No 182/2011 of the		
	European Parliament and of the		
	Council		
Recital 25	Recital 29		To ensure obligations on public
In order to ensure	In order to ensure		access to information and
transparency, impartiality and	transparency, impartiality and		public right to know,
consistency at the level of	consistency at the level of		information on infringements
enforcement activities, Member	enforcement activities, Member		of the provisions of this
States should lay down rules on	States should lay down rules on		Regulation should always be
penalties applicable to	penalties applicable to		made public.
infringements of the provisions	infringements of the provisions		
of this Regulation and ensure	of this Regulation and ensure		The "where appropriate" in the
that they are implemented.	that they are implemented.		last sentence of the recast
Those penalties should be	Those penalties should be		proposal should therefore be
effective, proportionate and	effective, proportionate and		deleted.
dissuasive, since non-	dissuasive, since non-		
compliance can result in	compliance can result in		
damage to human health and	damage to human health and		
the environment. Information	the environment. <i>To ensure</i>		
on infringements of the	consistent and effective		
provisions of this Regulation	enforcement of this		
should be made public, where	Regulation, the Member		
appropriate.	States should coordinate		
	relevant activities and		
	exchange information in the		
	Forum for Exchange of		
	Information on Enforcement		
	established under Regulation		
	(EC) No 1907/2006.		
	Information on infringements		
	of the provisions of this		

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
	Regulation should be made		
	public, where appropriate		
	Article 2 – paragraph 1 –	Amendment 2, Article 2 –	Synthesis denotes a broader
	<pre>point j (new)'closed system</pre>	paragraph 1 – point j	chemical process than simply
	site-limited intermediate'	'closed system site-limited	the process of converting
	means a substance that is	intermediate' means a	chemical processing in order to
	manufactured for and	substance that is manufactured	be transformed into one or
	consumed in or used for	for and consumed in or used for	more other substance. It is
	chemical processing in order to	chemical processing in order to	therefore possible that the
	be transformed into <i>one or</i>	be transformed into <i>another</i>	proposed revision would open
	more other substances and	substance, hereinafter	up for a broader use of banned
	where the manufacture of the	'synthesis', and where the	chemicals in production chains.
	intermediate and its	manufacture of the	
	transformation into <i>one or</i>	intermediate and its	Noting that there is no
	more other substances take	transformation into (an) other	definition of what a site is in
	place on the same site under	substance(s) take place in a	the regulation or the
	strictly controlled conditions in	synthesis on the same site,	Convention, having a toxic
	that it is rigorously contained	including a site that is	chemical handled by different
	by technical means during its	operated by one or more legal	legal entities open ups for shell
	whole lifecycle.	entities, under strictly	corporations conducting a
		controlled conditions in that it	wide variety of illegal activities
		is rigorously contained by	such as waste dumping,
		technical means during its	insecure transports leading to
		whole lifecycle.	spills and accidents, etc. It also
			introduces the tangible risk for
			trading of banned substances
			between companies.
	Article 4 – paragraph 1- point		This new point in the Recast
	c (new)		proposal provides means to
			continue the hazardous

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
	Article 3 shall not apply in the		practice of recycling of waste
	case of:		contaminated with POPs.
	(c) waste consisting of,		Already numerous studies have
	containing or contaminated by		shown that this leads to POPs
	any substance listed in Annex I		contamination in consumer
	or II.		products, including children's
			products intended for
			mouthing.
			This proposal for new point c
			should therefore be rejected.
Article 4 – paragraph 3 –	Article 4 – paragraph 3 –	Amendment 25, Article 4 –	This proposed Amendment
subparagraph 2 - point b	subparagraph 2 - point b	paragraph 3 – subparagraph	should be adopted based on its
the manufacturing process will	the manufacturer demonstrates	2 – point b	justification:
transform the substance into	that the manufacturing process	the manufacturer demonstrates	The Stockholm Convention
one or more other substances	will transform the substance	that the manufacturing process	stipulates that POP
that do not exhibit the	into one or more other	will transform the substance	manufacturers must assume
characteristics of a persistent	substances that do not exhibit	into one or more other	responsibility for reducing the
organic pollutant;	the characteristics of a POP	substances that do not exhibit	adverse effects their products
		the characteristics of a POP <i>or</i>	have on human health or the
		pose other significant risks to	environment and providing
		the environment or human	information to users,
		health	governments and the public on
			the hazardous properties of
			those substances. That
			principle should also extend to
			the users of POPs. The
			amendment is consistent with
			Article 4 of Regulation
			2017/852 on mercury. The
			POPs Regulation should be

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
			aligned with the Convention
			and with the latest Union
			legislation.
Article 4 – paragraph 3 –	Article 4 – paragraph 3 –	Amendment 26	The proposed Recast text
subparagraph 2 - point c	subparagraph 2 – point c	Article 4 – paragraph 3 –	weakens the Regulation
it is not expected that either	the manufacturer confirms that	subparagraph 2 - point c	substantially. The amendment
humans or the environment	the substance is a closed-	it is not expected that either	retaining the original text with
will be exposed to any	system site-limited	humans or the environment	updated legal references should
significant quantities of the	intermediate within the	will be exposed to any	be adopted.
substance during its production	meaning of Article 2(j).	significant quantities of the	
and use, as shown through		substance during its production	
assessment of that closed		and use, as shown through	
system in accordance with		assessment of that closed	
Commission Directive		system in accordance with	
2001/59/EC.		Regulation (EC) No	
		1272/20081a of the European	
		Parliament and of the Council	
		Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008	
		of the European Parliament and	
		of the Council of 16 December	
		2008 on classification, labelling	
		and packaging of substances	
		and mixtures, amending and	
		repealing Directives	
		67/548/EEC and 1999/45/EC,	
		and amending Regulation (EC)	
		No 1907/2006 (OJ L 353,	
		31.12.2008, p. 1).	
		Amendment 27 Article 4 -	The proposed amendment
		paragraph 3 - subparagraph	should be adopted based on its
		2 - point c a (new)	justification: The Stockholm

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
			Convention stipulates that POP
		the manufacturer demonstrates	manufacturers must assume
		that there are no technically	responsibility for reducing the
		feasible alternatives to the use	adverse effects their products
		of a substance listed in Part A of	have on human health or the
		Annex I or in Part A of Annex II	environment and providing
			information to users,
			governments and the public on
			the hazardous properties of
			those substances. That
			principle should also extend to
			the users of POPs. The
			amendment is consistent with
			Article 4 of Regulation
			2017/852 on mercury. The
			POPs Regulation should be
			aligned with the Convention
			and with the latest Union
			legislation.
	Article 4 -paragraph 4 (new)		This new paragraph in the
	Paragraphs 2 and 3 shall not		Recast proposal provides
	apply to waste consisting of,		means to continue the
	containing or contaminated by		hazardous practice of recycling
	any substance listed in Annexes		of waste contaminated with
	I or II.		POPs. Already numerous
			studies have shown that this
			leads to POPs contamination in
			consumer products, including
			children's products intended
			for mouthing. This proposal for

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
			the new paragraph 4 should therefore be rejected.
Article 5 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2 The holder shall manage the stockpile in a safe, efficient and environmentally sound manner	Article 5 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2 The holder shall manage the stockpile in a safe, efficient and environmentally sound manner	Amendment 28 Article 5 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2 The holder shall manage the stockpile in a safe, efficient and environmentally sound manner, in accordance with the thresholds and requirements laid down in Directive 2012/18/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council1a and Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council1b, where applicable.	The amendment should be adopted based on its justification: Directive 2012/18/EU also applies to dangerous substances falling within the scope of the POPs Regulation. Its requirements should thus be referred to in this proposal for a regulation.
Article 6 - paragraph 1 Within two years of the date of entry into force of this Regulation, Member States shall draw up and maintain release inventories for the substances listed in Annex III into air, water and land in accordance with their obligations under the Convention and the Protocol	Article 6 – paragraph 1 Within two years of the date of entry into force of this Regulation or Regulation (EC) No 850/2004, whichever date came first, Member States shall draw up inventories for the substances listed in Annex III released into air, water and land in accordance with their obligations under the Convention and the Protocol	Amendment 30, Article 6 – paragraph 1 Within two years of the date of entry into force of this Regulation or Regulation (EC) No 850/2004, whichever date came first, Member States shall draw up inventories for the substances listed in Annex III released into air, water and land or contained in waste, in accordance with their obligations under the	The amendment should be adopted based on its justification: The EU Member States are not carrying out the mandatory reporting of dioxin substances using the Dioxin Toolkit, as required under the Stockholm Convention. Most are only reporting emissions of these substances into the air and sometimes into the water, but they are rarely reporting how

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
	and shall subsequently	Convention and the Protocol,	much ends up in waste. In
	<i>maintain such</i> inventories	and shall subsequently <i>update</i>	contrast with the LRTAP POPs
		<i>those</i> inventories	protocol, under which
			European states are
			accustomed to reporting, the
			Stockholm Convention also
			focuses on the elimination of
			POPs in waste. The above
			insertions should help to clarify
			that fact.
Article 7 – paragraph 6	Article 7 – paragraph 6	Amendment 3, Article 7 –	The proposed Amendment
The Commission may, where	The Commission may, where	paragraph 6	changes the meaning of the
appropriate, and taking into	appropriate, and taking into	The Commission may, where	paragraph and radically
consideration technical	consideration technical	appropriate, and taking into	weakens it provisions. It is a big
developments and relevant	developments and relevant	consideration technical	difference between "adopt
international guidelines and	international guidelines and	developments and relevant	additional measures by means
decisions and any	decisions and any	international guidelines and	of implementation acts" and
authorisations granted by a	authorisations granted by a	decisions and any	"adopt implementing acts
Member State, or the	Member State, or by the	authorisations granted by a	setting out the
competent authority designated	competent authority designated	Member State, or by the	<i>format of</i> the information to be
by that Member State in	by that Member State in	competent authority designated	submitted".
accordance with paragraph 4	accordance with paragraph 4	by that Member State in	
and Annex V, adopt additional	and Annex V, adopt , <i>by means</i>	accordance with paragraph 4	The proposed Amendment
measures relating to the	<i>of</i> implementing acts	and Annex V, adopt	weakens the provisions of the
implementation of this Article.	additional measures relating to	implementing acts <i>setting out</i>	Regulation and should
The Commission shall define a	the implementation of this	the	therefore be rejected.
format for the submission of	Article. In particular, the	<i>format of</i> the information to be	
the information by Member	Commission may specify the	submitted by Member States in	
States in accordance with	information to be submitted by	accordance with paragraph	
paragraph 4(b)(iii). Such	Member States in accordance	4(b)(iii). Those implementing	
measures shall be decided in	with paragraph 4(b)(iii). Such	<i>acts</i> shall be <i>adopted</i> in	

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 17(2).	measures shall be decided in accordance with the advisory procedure laid down in Article 20(2).	accordance with the advisory procedure <i>referred to</i> in Article 20(2).	
		Amendment 5 Article 8 – paragraph 1 a (new) The Agency shall start providing the assistance and technical and scientific guidance referred to in point (a) of Article 8 (1) by [the date one year after the entry into force of this Regulation].	Amendment 5 should be read in conjuncture with Amendment 1, which assigns inappropriate authority to ECHA in relation to nominating new substance to the Stockholm Convention. In line with Amendment 1, Amendment 5 should be rejected.
	Article 8 – paragraph 1 – point c (new) upon request, provide technical and scientific support and input to the Commission for substances that may comply with the criteria for listing in the Convention or the Protocol	Amendment 4 Article 8 – paragraph 1 – point c upon request, provide a dossier of technical, scientific and socio-economic assessments to the Commission for substances where evidence exists that these substances may comply with the criteria for listing in the Convention or the Protocol;	Amendment 4 violates the procedures of the Stockholm Convention and its information criteria in Annex D for submitting a proposal to list a chemical and should be rejected. This is also supported by the justification to Amendment 33: It is crucial that the process for nomination is based strictly on scientific evidence, as per the

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		Amendment 32	established process under the
		Article 8 - paragraph 1 -	Convention. Other
		point c	considerations, such as socio-
		upon request, provide technical	economic analyses, risk
		and scientific support and input	undermining the scientific basis
		to the Commission for	and shall thus not be made
		substances that may comply	under this Article, especially as
		with the criteria for listing in	they are already carried out by
		the Convention or the Protocol,	the expert body of the
		including on the prevention of	Convention (POPRC) as a part
		the production and use of new	of their assessment.
		POPs, and on the assessment	
		of pesticides or industrial	Amendment 32 includes correct
		chemicals currently in use;	references of language in the
			Stockholm Convention and
		Amendment 33	should be adopted.
		Article 8 – paragraph 1 –	
		point c	
		upon request, provide <i>robust</i>	
		technical and scientific support	
		and input to the Commission	
		for substances that may comply	
		with the criteria for listing in	
		the Convention or the Protocol	
		Amendment 35	Amendment 35 should be
		Article 11 - paragraph 2 a	adopted based on its
		(new)	justification:
		The Commission shall organise	Article 6(1) of the Convention
		an exchange of information	stipulates that 'each Party shall:

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		with the Member States	() (a) Develop appropriate
		regarding the measures taken	strategies for identifying
		at national level to identify and	stockpiles consisting of or
		assess sites contaminated by	containing chemicals ()'. The
		POPs and to address the	7th EAP commits the EU to
		significant risks such	remediating contaminated
		contamination may pose to	sites. In several Member States
		human health and the	such identification and
		environment.	remediation has yet to take
			place. The amendment is
			consistent with Article 15 of
			Regulation 2017/852 on
			mercury. This regulation, too,
			should be aligned with the
			Convention and with the latest
			Union legislation
	Article 11 - paragraph 3	Amendment 36	Amendment 36, 37 and 38 are
	Without prejudice to Directive	Article 11 - paragraph 3	all based on the need to align
	2003/4/EC of the European	Without prejudice to Directive	the language of the Regulation
	Parliament and of the Council ₃₂	2003/4/EC of the European	with that of the Stockholm
	, information <i>referred to in</i>	Parliament and of the Council ₃₂	Convention Article 9(5). These
	paragraphs 1 and 2 shall not	, information <i>on health and</i>	revisions should be adopted.
	be regarded as confidential. The	safety of humans and the	
	Commission, the Agency and	environment shall not be	
	the Member States that	regarded as confidential. The	
	exchange information with a	Commission, the Agency and	
	third country shall protect any	the Member States that	
	confidential information in	exchange <i>other</i> information	
	accordance with Union law	with a third country shall	
		protect any confidential	

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		information in accordance with	
		Union law <i>as mutually agreed</i> .	
		Amendment 37	
		Article 11 - paragraph 3	
		Without prejudice to Directive	
		2003/4/EC of the European	
		Parliament and of the Council of	
		28 January 2003 on public	
		access to environmental	
		information32, information on	
		the environment and health	
		and safety of humans, in	
		addition to the information	
		referred to in paragraphs 1, 2	
		and 2a, shall not be regarded as	
		confidential. The Commission,	
		the Agency and the Member	
		States that exchange	
		information with a third	
		country shall protect any	
		confidential information in	
		accordance with Union law.	
		Amendment 38	
		Article 11 – paragraph 3	
		Without prejudice to Directive	
		2003/4/EC of the European	
		Parliament and of the Council32,	
		information <i>on health and</i>	
		safety of humans and the	

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		<i>environment</i> shall not be	
		regarded as confidential. The	
		Commission, the Agency and	
		the Member States that	
		exchange information with a	
		third country shall protect any	
		confidential information in	
		accordance with Union law	
		Amendment 40, 41	Amendment 40 and 41 should
		Article 13 – paragraph 1 –	be adopted based on their
		subparagraph 2 a (new)	justifications:
		The Union shall ensure access	The proposed monitoring
		to information and public	system lacks reference to the
		participation throughout the	access to information and
		monitoring of implementation	public participation, and shall
			be amended accordingly.
	Article 13 - paragraph 5	Amendment 6	The proposed Amendment
	(new)	Article 13 – paragraph 5	changes the meaning of the
	The Commission may adopt	The Commission may adopt	paragraph. It is a big difference
	implementing acts <i>further</i>	implementing acts <i>setting out</i>	between "adopt implementing
	specifying the minimum	the format of the information	acts <i>further specifying the</i>
	information to be provided in	to be provided in accordance	<i>minimum</i> information" and
	accordance with paragraph 1,	with paragraph 1, including the	"adopt implementing acts
	including the definition of	definition of indicators, maps	setting out the
	indicators, maps and Member	and Member State overviews	<i>format of</i> the information to be
	State overviews referred to in	referred to in paragraph 1(f).	provided".
	paragraph 1(f). Those	Those implementing acts shall	
	implementing acts shall be	be adopted in accordance with	The proposed Amendment
	adopted in accordance with the		weakens the provisions of the

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
	advisory procedure referred to	the advisory procedure	Regulation and should
	in Article 20(2).	referred to in Article 20(2).	therefore be rejected.
	1 1 10		40.1.111
	Article 18 – paragraph 2	Amendment 42	Amendment 42 should be
	The power to adopt delegated	Article 18 – paragraph 2	adopted based on its
	acts referred to in Articles 4(3),	The power to adopt delegated	justification:
	7(5) and 15 shall be conferred	acts referred to in Articles 4(3),	The delegation of power
	on the Commission for an	7(5) and 15 shall be conferred	conferred on the Commission
	indeterminate period of time	on the Commission for a period	cannot be for an indeterminate
	from [].	of five years from [date of entry	period of time. The European
		into force of this Regulation].	Parliament and the Council
		The Commission shall draw up	must be able to exercise
		a report in respect of the	political control over any
		delegation of power not	delegations conferred on the
		later than nine months before	Commission.
		the end of the five-year period.	
		The delegation of power shall	
		be tacitly extended for periods	
		of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the	
		Council opposes such extension	
		not later than three months	
		before the end of each period	
	Annex I – part A – table –	Amendment 46	Amendment 46 should be
	column 4 – row 1 – point 2 –	Annex I – part A – table –	adopted based on its
	point a	column 4 – row 1 – point 2 –	justification:
	(a) without prejudice to	point a	The biggest issue connected
	subparagraph (b), articles and	deleted	with the POPs is currently the
	mixtures containing		lack of regulation of emissions
	concentrations below 0,1 % of		in waste. The excessively lax

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
	tetrabromodiphenyl ether by		limits for waste in Annex IV and
	weight when produced partially		the derogations for the
	or fully from recycled materials		recycling of brominated
	or materials from waste		diphenyl ethers (in particular,
	prepared for re-use;		penta-BDE and octa-BDE) lead
			to the contamination of
			recycled plastic products, such
			as children's toys, kitchen
			utensils or food packaging. To
			stop the flow of these toxic
			substances, it will be necessary
			to remove the recycling
			derogations.
	Annex I – part A – table –	Amendment 47	Amendment 47 should be
	column 4 – row 2 – point 2 –	Annex I – part A – table –	adopted based on its
	point a	column 4 – row 2 – point 2 –	justification:
	(a) without prejudice to	point a	The biggest issue connected
	subparagraph (b), articles and	deleted	with the POPs is currently the
	mixtures containing		lack of regulation of emissions
	concentrations below 0,1 % of		in waste. The excessively lax
	tetrabromodiphenyl ether by		limits for waste in Annex IV and
	weight when produced partially		the derogations for the
	or fully from recycled materials		recycling of brominated
	or materials from waste		diphenyl ethers (in particular,
	prepared for re-use		penta-BDE and octa-BDE) lead
			to the contamination of
			recycled plastic products, such
			as children's toys, kitchen
			utensils or food packaging. To
			stop the flow of these toxic
			substances, it will be necessary

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
			to remove the recycling
			derogations.
	Annex I – part A – table –	Amendment 48	Amendment 48 should be
	column 4 - row 3 - point 2 -	Annex I – part A – table –	adopted based on its
	point a	column 4 - row 3 - point 2 -	justification:
	(a) without prejudice to	point a	The biggest issue connected
	subparagraph (b), articles and	deleted	with the POPs is currently the
	mixtures containing		lack of regulation of emissions
	concentrations below 0,1 % of		in waste. The excessively lax
	hexabromobiphenyl ether by		limits for waste in Annex IV and
	weight when produced partially		the derogations for the
	or fully from recycled materials		recycling of brominated
	or materials from waste		diphenyl ethers (in particular,
	prepared for re-use;		penta-BDE and octa-BDE) lead
			to the contamination of
			recycled plastic products, such
			as children's toys, kitchen
			utensils or food packaging. To
			stop the flow of these toxic
			substances, it will be necessary
			to remove the recycling
			derogations.
	Annex I – part A – table –	Amendment 49	Amendment 49 should be
	column 4 - row 4 - point 2 -	Annex I – part A – table –	adopted based on its
	point a	column 4 - row 4 - point 2 -	justification:
	(a) without prejudice to	point a	The biggest issue connected
	subparagraph (b), articles and	deleted	with the POPs is currently the
	mixtures containing		lack of regulation of emissions
	concentrations below 0,1 % of		in waste. The excessively lax
	heptabromodiphenyl ether by		limits for waste in Annex IV and
	weight when produced partially		the derogations for the

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
	or fully from recycled materials		recycling of brominated
	or materials from waste		diphenyl ethers (in particular,
	prepared for re-use;		penta-BDE and octa-BDE) lead
			to the contamination of
			recycled plastic products, such
			as children's toys, kitchen
			utensils or food packaging. To
			stop the flow of these toxic
			substances, it will be necessary
			to remove the recycling
			derogations.
	Annex I – part A – table – row	Amendment 50	Amendment 50 drastically
	17	Annex I – part A – table – row	weakens the provisions for
		17	PCBs, one of the original twelve
	Member States shall identify	Member States shall <i>endeavour</i>	POPs covered by the Stockholm
	and remove from use	to identify and remove from	Convention at its signing in
	equipment (e.g. transformers,	use equipment (e.g.	2001. All use equipment (e.g.
	capacitors or other receptacles	transformers, capacitors or	transformers, capacitors or
	containing liquid stocks)	other receptacles containing	other receptacles containing
	containing more than 0,005 %	liquid stocks) containing more	liquid stocks) containing more
	PCBs and volumes greater than	than 0,005 % PCBs and	than 0,005 % PCBs and
	0,05 dm3, as soon as possible	volumes greater than 0,05 dm3,	volumes greater than 0,05 dm3
	but no later than 31 December	as soon as possible <i>and</i> no later	must be identified and removed
	2025. 🛽 _	than 31 December	at latest by the assigned
			deadline, noting the extensive
			time frame for compliance.
		Amendment 7	It should be noted that the low
		Annex I – part A – row 24 a	POPs content limits established
		(new)	under the Stockholm and Basel
		1. For the purposes of this	Convention refers to
		entry, point (b) of Article 4(1)	(hazardous) waste and not to

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		shall apply to concentrations of	allowed limits in articles. In
		decaBDE equal to or below 10	fact, it is highly inappropriate
		mg/kg (0,001 % by weight)	that limits allowed in consumer
		when it occurs in substances,	articles are even close to limits
		mixtures, articles or as	where they are considered
		constituents of the flame-	hazardous waste. Therefore,
		retarded parts of articles	referring to these limits as
		2. By way of derogation, the	proposed in Amendment 52
		manufacturing, placing on the	should be strongly rejected.
		market and use of decaBDE	
		shall be allowed:	Also, it should be noted that
		(a) in the production of an	DecaBDE exhibits similar
		aircraft, for which type	properties to PentaBDE and
		approval has been applied for	OctaBDE which are already
		before date of entry into force	listed in the Stockholm
		and has been received before	Convention and in the EU POPs
		December 2022, before 2	regulation with acceptable
		March 2027;.	limits up to 10 mg/kg.
		(b) in the production of spare	Allowing any higher limits for
		parts for either of the	DecaBDE disregards its
		following:	persistent, bioaccumulative,
		(i) an aircraft, for which type	and toxic properties. It would
		approval has been applied for	also introduce DecaBDE into
		before date of entry into force	the recycling stream, causing
		and has been received before	uncontrolled, widespread
		December 2022, produced	contamination of articles made
		before 2 March 2027 until the	from recycled plastic.
		end of the service life of those	Therefore, the higher
		aircraft;	concentration limit of 1,000
			mg/kg proposed in amendment
			52 should strongly be rejected.

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		(ii) motor vehicles within the	
		scope of Directive	The proposed limit of 10 mg/kg
		2007/46/EC, produced	for DecaBDE in Amendment 7
		before [the date of entry into	harmonizes the concentration
		force of this Regulation], either	limit with the limits for
		until 2036 or the end of the	PentaBDE and OctaBDE and
		service life of those motor	should be included into the
		vehicles, whichever date	revised POPs regulation.
		comes earlier.	
		3. The specific exemptions for	While the derogations
		spare parts for use in motor	proposed under 2-4 falls under
		vehicles referred to in	the specific exemptions agreed
		paragraph 2(b)(ii) shall apply	under the Stockholm
		for the production and use of	Convention, the consequence of
		commercial decaBDE falling	allowing the use of DecaBDE in
		into one or more of the	the wide range of articles listed
		following categories:	is highly concerning both since
		(i) powertrain and under-hoo	dit does not agree with the
		applications such as battery	objective of the Stockholm
		mass wires, battery	Convention mindful of the
		interconnection wires, mobile	
		air-conditioning (MAC) pipes,	_
		•	the environment, and since it
		bushings, under-hood	will create possibly
		insulation, wiring and harness	insurmountable obstacles for
		under hood (engine wiring,	the EU strategy for a circular
		etc.), speed sensors, hoses, far	
		modules and knock sensors;	It should also be noted that the
		(ii) fuel system applications	POPS review Committee after
		such as fuel hoses, fuel tanks	careful investigation of the
		and fuel tanks under body;	

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		(iii) pyrotechnical devices and	availability of alternatives ¹
		applications affected by	concluded that
		pyrotechnical devices such as	- "For the automotive industry,
		air bag ignition cables, seat	the production and use of c-
		covers/fabrics (only if airbag	decaBDE should be limited to
		relevant) and airbags (front	parts for use in legacy vehicles"
		and side);	- "Knowing that generic parts
		(iv) suspension and interior	for cars in general are available
		applications such as trim	and noting that some spare
		components, acoustic materia	parts could possibly be
		and seat belts.	retrofitted to legacy car models,
		(v) reinforced plastics	it may be possible to limit the
		(instrument panels and	specific exemptions for civilian
		interior trim);	cars even further than described
		(vi) under the hood or dash	above"
		(terminal/fuse blocks, higher-	- "For the aerospace industry a
		amperage wires and cable	phase-out of c-decaBDE in new
		jacketing (spark plug wires));	aircraft types by 2018 is widely
		(vii) electric and electronic	supported//The Boeing
		equipment (battery cases and	Company expects a complete
		battery trays, engine control	phase-out of c-decaBDE to be
		electrical connectors,	possible by the entry into force
		components of radio disks,	of a possible amendment of
		navigation satellite systems,	Annex A"
		global positioning systems and	Any derogations proposed in
		computer systems);	the EU should therefore be
		(viii) fabric such as rear decks	, thoroughly investigated and
		upholstery, headliners,	strictly limited to enable
		automobile seats, head rests,	greater protections for EU

¹ <u>UNEP-POPS-POPRC.12-11-Add.4.English</u>

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		sun visors, trim panels,	residents and not just copied
		carpets.	and pasted from the Stockholm
		3. The manufacturing of	Convention decisions.
		decaBDE and its use in the	
		production and placing on the	
		market of the following	
		articles shall be allowed:	
		(a) articles placed on the	
		market before [the date of	
		entry into force of this	
		Regulation];	
		(b) aircraft produced in	
		accordance with	
		subparagraph 2(a);	
		(c) spare parts of aircraft	
		produced in accordance with	
		subparagraph 2(b).	
		(d) electrical and electronic	
		equipment within the scope of	
		Directive 2011/65/EU.	
		4. For the purpose of this	
		entry 'aircraft' means one of	
		the following:	
		(a) a civil aircraft produced in	
		accordance with a type	
		certificate issued under	
		Regulation (EU) No 216/2008	
		of the European Parliament	
		and of the Council or with a	
		design approval issued under	
		the national regulations of a	

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		Contracting State to the	
		International Civil Aviation	
		Organisation (ICAO), or for	
		which a certificate of	
		airworthiness has been issued	
		by an ICAO Contracting State	
		under Annex 8 to the	
		Convention on International	
		Civil Aviation;	
		(b) a military aircraft.	
		Amendment 51	
		1. For the purposes of this	
		entry, point (b) of Article 4(1)	
		shall apply to concentrations of	
		decaBDE at a level to be agreed	
		under the Basel and Stockholm	
		Conventions respectively when	
		it occurs in substances,	
		mixtures, articles or as	
		constituents of the flame-	
		retarded parts of articles.	
		Point 2-4 the same as	
		amendment 7	
		amenument /	
		Amendment 52	
		1. For the purposes of this	
		entry, point (b) of Article 4(1)	
		shall apply to concentrations of	

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
3		decaBDE equal to or below 1000 mg/kg (0,1 % by weight) when it occurs in substances, mixtures, articles or as constituents of the flame- retarded parts of articles. Point 2-4 the same as amendment 7	
		Amendment 8 Annex I – part A – row 24 b (new) 1. By way of derogation, the manufacturing, placing on the market and use of substances or preparations containing SCCPs in concentrations lower than 1 % by weight or articles containing SCCPs in concentrations lower than 0,15 % by weight shall be allowed. 2. Use shall be allowed in respect of: (a) conveyor belts in the mining industry and dam sealants containing SCCPs already in use	SCCPs are toxic to aquatic organisms at low concentrations, disrupt endocrine function, and are suspected to cause cancer in humans. Any allowed limits should therefore be carefully considered, mindful of the precautionary approach protecting human health and the environment. A report developed on behalf of the Federal Environment Agency in Germany in 2015 ² concludes that "In order to minimise risks, it is possible to set the Low POPs Content Level

² https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/sites/default/files/medien/378/publikationen/texte 35 2015 identification of potentially pop-containing wastes.pdf

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		before or on 4 December 2015; and (b) articles containing SCCPs other than those referred to in (a) already in use before or on 10 July 2012. 3. Article 4(2) third and fourth subparagraphs shall apply to the articles referred to in point 2 above.	for SCCP to 1,000 mg/kg or even 100 mg/kg", affirming the feasibility of a 100 mg/kg LPCL. Noting that these are the levels that refer to hazardous waste and not to allowed limits in articles, any trace amounts allowed in articles should be significantly lower than 100 mg/kg. Noting also that SCCP contamination is already widespread in plastics in the recycling stream and ending up in toys³, every care should be taken to keep additional contamination out. The limits proposed in Amendment 8 are recklessly high and should therefore be strongly rejected.
		Amendment 10 Annex III, footnote 1	The definitions in the Regulation should not deviate
		polychlorinated naphthalenes means chemical compounds	from the definition of the Stockholm Convention, and

[.]

³ See e.g. <u>https://ipen.org/sites/default/files/documents/ipen-sccps-report-v1_5-en.pdf</u>

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		based on the naphthalene ring	Amendment 10 should
		system, where one or more	therefore be rejected and
		hydrogen atoms have been	Stockholm Convention
		replaced by chlorine atoms.	definition ⁴ added:
		Hexachlorobutadiene	"Polychlorinated naphthalenes,
			including dichlorinated
			naphthalenes, trichlorinated
			naphthalenes, tetrachlorinated
			naphthalenes, pentachlorinated
			naphthalenes, hexachlorinated
			naphthalenes, heptachlorinated
			naphthalenes, octachlorinated
			naphthalene"
	Annex IV – table – row 4	Amendment 53	Amendment 53 should be
	Alkanes C10-C13, chloro (short-	Annex IV – table – row 4	adopted based on its
	chain chlorinated paraffins)	Alkanes C10-C13, chloro (short-	justification:
	(SCCPs)	chain chlorinated paraffins)	The biggest issue connected
	10 000 mg/kg	(SCCPs)	with the POPs is currently the
		100 mg/kg	lack of reporting on emissions
			in waste. The excessively lax
			limits for waste in Annex IV and
			the derogations for the
			recycling of brominated
			diphenyl ethers (in particular,
			penta-BDE and octa-BDE) lead
			to the contamination of
			recycled plastic products, such
			as children's toys, kitchen

⁴ Stockholm decision SC-7/14 http://chm.pops.int/Portals/0/download.aspx?d=UNEP-POPS-COP.7-SC-7-14.English.pdf

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
			utensils or food packaging. To
			stop the flow of these toxic
			substances, it will be necessary
			set stricter POPs limits in waste
	Annex IV – table – column 4 –	Amendment 54	Amendment 54 should be
	row 5	Annex IV - table - column 4 -	adopted based on its
	Sum of the concentrations of	row 5	justification:
	tetrabromodiphenyl ether,	Sum of the concentrations of	The biggest issue connected
	pentabromodiphenyl ether,	tetrabromodiphenyl ether,	with the POPs is currently the
	hexabromodiphenyl ether and	pentabromodiphenyl ether,	lack of reporting on emissions
	heptabromodiphenyl ether:	hexabromodiphenyl ether and	in waste. The excessively lax
	1000 mg/kg	heptabromodiphenyl ether: 50	limits for waste in Annex IV and
		mg/kg	the derogations for the
			recycling of brominated
			diphenyl ethers (in particular,
			penta-BDE and octa-BDE) lead
			to the contamination of
			recycled plastic products, such
			as children's toys, kitchen
			utensils or food packaging. To
			stop the flow of these toxic
			substances, it will be necessary
			set stricter POPs limits in waste
	Annex IV – table 1 – column 4	Amendment 55	Amendment 55 should be
	- row 10 - footnote 7	Annex IV – table 1 – column 4	adopted based on its
		- row 10 - footnote 7	justification: Not only are
		PCB TEF	polychlorinated biphenyls
		PCB 77 0,0001	(PCBs) on the list of substances
		PCB 81 0,0003	whose further manufacture and
		PCB 0,1	use is prohibited by the
		126	Stockholm Convention, but they

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed	l amendment	Comment
		PCB	0,03	are also on the list of
		169		substances that are produced
		PCB	0,0000	as unintended by-products in
		105	3	Annex C to the Convention (or
		PCB	0,0000	Annex III to the POPs
		114	3	Regulation). However, the limit
		PCB	0,0000	for PCBs in waste has been set
		118	3	only for those of their typical
		PCB	0,0000	congeners for technical
		123	3	(intentionally produced) PCB
		PCB	0,0000	mixtures (the inclusion of PCBs
		156	3	in Appendix A), not for typical
		PCB	0,0000	congeners such as dioxin-like
		157	3	PCBs (DL PCBs). That needs to
				be corrected and DL-PCBs need
				to be included in the limits,
				which is the purpose of this
				addition.
	Annex V - part 1 - table - row	Amendm	ent 56	Amendment 56 should be
	4	Annex V -	- part 1 - table - row	adopted based on its
	Recycling/reclamation of	4		justification:
	metals and metal compounds,	Deleted		The inclusion of this technology
	under the following conditions:			is very problematic, given that,
	The operations are restricted to			in most of the relevant facilities,
	residues from iron- and steel-			dioxins (PCDDs and PCDFs) are
	making processes such as dusts			not regularly measured in
	or sludges from gas treatment			emissions. What is more,
	or mill scale or zinc-containing			metallurgical plants are major
	filter dusts from steelworks,			sources of dioxin emissions,
	dusts from gas cleaning			and so they cannot be included
	systems of copper smelters and			among the processes which

similar wastes and lead- containing leaching residues of the non-ferrous metal production. Waste containing PCBs is excluded. The operations are restricted to processes for the recovery of iron and iron alloys (blast furnace, shaft furnace and hearth furnace) and non-ferrous metals (Waelz rotary kiln process, bath melting processes using vertical or horizontal furnaces), provided the facilities meet as minimum requirements the emission limit values for PCDDs and PCDFs laid down in accordance with Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 on industrial emissions, whether or not the processes are subject to that Directive and without prejudice to the other provisions of the Directive	Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		similar wastes and lead-containing leaching residues of the non-ferrous metal production. Waste containing PCBs is excluded. The operations are restricted to processes for the recovery of iron and iron alloys (blast furnace, shaft furnace and hearth furnace) and non-ferrous metals (Waelz rotary kiln process, bath melting processes using vertical or horizontal furnaces), provided the facilities meet as minimum requirements the emission limit values for PCDDs and PCDFs laid down in accordance with Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 on industrial emissions, whether or not the processes are subject to that Directive and without prejudice to the other		must destroy them (break them

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		Amendment 12	The proposed Amendment 12
		Annex V a (new)	misquotes Annex D of the
		ANNEX V a	Stockholm Convention, as well
		ECHA DOSSIERS FOR	as add additional requirements
		SUBSTANCES CONSIDERED	violating the Stockholm
		FOR NOMINATION	Convention nomination process
		UNDER THE STOCKHOLM	as set out in the Convention
		CONVENTION	Annex D. The Amendment
		I. INTRODUCTION AND	should be therefore strongly be
		GENERAL PROVISIONS	rejected not to undermine the
		This Annex lays down the	Stockholm Convention
		general principles for preparing	nomination process.
		the European Chemicals Agency	
		('ECHA') dossiers to support	The correct language in Annex
		the Commission in the	D is:
		nomination of substances as	1. A Party submitting a
		Persistent Organic Pollutants	proposal to list a chemical in
		('POPs'), in accordance with	Annexes A, B and/or C
		Better Regulation principles,	shall identify the chemical in
		this Regulation and pursuant to	the manner described in
		the criteria set out in Annex D	subparagraph (a) and provide
		to the Stockholm Convention.	the information on the
		II. CONTENT OF DOSSIERS	chemical, and its
		Substance identity	transformation products
		The dossier shall include the	where relevant, relating to the
		identity of the substance(s)	screening criteria set out in
		concerned and whether the	subparagraphs (b)
		ECHA proposes to identify such	to (e):
		a substance as a potential POP	(a) Chemical identity:
		according to the criteria set out	(i) Names, including trade
			name or names, commercial

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		in Annex D to the Stockholm	name or names and synonyms,
		Convention.	Chemical Abstracts Service
		Information on properties	(CAS) Registry number,
		The dossier shall include the	International Union of Pure and
		following information on	Applied Chemistry (IUPAC)
		properties, in line with Annex D	name;
		to the Stockholm Convention:	and
		(a)Persistence	(ii) Structure, including specifi
		(i) evidence that the half-life of	cation of isomers, where
		the substance in water is	applicable, and the structure of
		greater than two months, or	the chemical class;
		that its half-life in soil is greater	
		than six months; or	(b) Persistence:
		(ii) evidence that the substance	(i) Evidence that the half-life of
		is otherwise sufficiently	the chemical in water is greater
		persistent to justify its	than two months, or that its
		consideration within the scope	half-life in soil is greater than
		of the Convention;	six months, or that its half-life
		(b)Bio-accumulation	in sediment is greater than six
		other reasons for concern, such	months; or
		high bio-accumulation in other	(ii) Evidence that the chemical
		species, high toxicity or	is otherwise sufficiently
		ecotoxicity; or	persistent to justify its
		(iii)monitoring data in biota	consideration within the scope
		indicating that the bio-	of this Convention;
		accumulation potential of the	
		substance is sufficient to justify	(c) Bio-accumulation:
		its consideration within the	(i) Evidence that the bio-
		scope of the Convention;	concentration factor or bio-
		(c) Potential for long-range	accumulation factor in aquatic
		transport	

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		(i) measured levels of the	species for the chemical is
		substance in locations distant	greater than 5,000 or, in the
		from the sources of its release	absence of such data, that the
		that are of potential concern;	log Kow is greater than 5;
		(ii) monitoring data showing	(ii) Evidence that a chemical
		that long-range environmental	presents other reasons for
		transport of the substance, with	concern, such as high bio-
		the potential for transfer to a	accumulation in other species,
		receiving environment, may	high toxicity or ecotoxicity;
		have occurred via air, water or	or
		migratory species; or	(iii) Monitoring data in biota
		(iii) environmental fate	indicating that the bio-
		properties and/or model	accumulation potential of the
		results that demonstrate that	chemical is sufficient to justify
		the substance has a potential	its consideration within the
		for long-range environmental	scope of this Convention;
		transport through air, water or	
		migratory species, with the	(d) Potential for long-range
		potential for transfer to a	environmental transport:
		receiving environment in	(i) Measured levels of the
		locations distant from the	chemical in locations distant
		sources of its release. For a	from the sources of its release
		substance that migrates	that are of potential concern;
		significantly through air, its	(ii) Monitoring data showing
		half-life in air should be greater	that long-range environmental
		than two days;	transport of the chemical, with
		(d) Adverse effects	the potential for transfer to a
		(i) evidence of adverse effects	receiving environment, may
		to human health or to the	have occurred via air, water or
		environment that justifies	migratory species; or
		consideration of the substance	

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		within the scope of this	(iii) Environmental fate
		Convention; or	properties and/or model
		(ii) toxicity or ecotoxicity data	results that demonstrate
		that indicate the potential for	that the chemical has a
		damage to human health or the	potential for long-range
		environment.	environmental transport
			through air, water or migratory
		Justification for action at the	species, with the potential
		international level	for transfer to a receiving
		In line with Annex D to the	environment in locations
		Stockholm Convention, the	distant from the sources of its
		dossier shall provide a	release. For a chemical that
		statement of the reasons for	migrates significantly
		concern including, where	through the air, its half-life in
		possible, a comparison of	air should be greater than two
		toxicity or ecotoxicity data with	days;
			and
		(i) evidence that the bio-	
		concentration factor of bio-	(e) Adverse effects:
		accumulation factor in aquatic	(i) Evidence of adverse effects
		species for the substance is	to human health or to the
		greater than 5,000 or, in the	environment that justifies
		absence of such data, that the	consideration of the chemical
		log Kow is greater than 5;	within the scope of this
		(ii)evidence that a substance	Convention; or
		presents	(ii) Toxicity or ecotoxicity data
		detected or predicted levels of a	that indicate the potential for
		substance resulting or	damage to human health or to
		anticipated from its long-range	the environment.
		environmental transport, and a	
		statement indicating the need	

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		for global control. The dossier	2. The proposing Party shall
		shall furthermore provide	provide a statement of the
		justification that:	reasons for concern
		- characteristics, properties and	including, where possible, a
		uses of the substance(s) justify	comparison of toxicity or
		the adoption of risk control	ecotoxicity data with
		measures;	detected or predicted levels of a
		- risk management options at	chemical resulting or
		Union level would not	anticipated from its
		effectively reduce the risks	long-range environmental
		associated with the	transport, and a short
		substance(s) under scrutiny;	statement indicating the need
		- the substance(s) has adverse	for global control.
		effects on human health and the	
		environment to the extent that	3. The proposing Party shall, to
		action is required at the	the extent possible and taking
		international level;	into account its capabilities,
		- the nomination of the	provide additional information
		substance(s) under the	to support the review of the
		Stockholm Convention is the	proposal referred to in
		most appropriate measure.	paragraph 6 of Article 8. In
		Information on socio-economic	developing such a proposal,
		impacts	a Party may draw on technical
		The dossier shall provide	expertise from any source.
		relevant information relating to	
		the socio-economic impacts	
		associated with possible	
		measures under the Stockholm	
		Convention to enable a decision	
		by the Commission before it	
		puts forward a nomination for	

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		listing. To that end, the net	
		benefits to human health and	
		the environment of the	
		proposed risk management	
		option shall be compared to its	
		net costs for manufacturers,	
		importers, downstream users,	
		distributors, consumers and	
		society as a whole.	
		Such information shall include	
		consideration of the following	
		indicative list of items:	
		1. Efficacy and efficiency of	
		possible control measures in	
		meeting risk reduction goals:	
		a) technical feasibility; and	
		b) costs, including	
		environmental and health	
		costs;	
		2. Alternatives(products and	
		processes):	
		a) technical feasibility;	
		b) costs, including	
		environmental and health	
		costs;	
		c) efficacy;	
		d) risk;	
		e) Availability; and	
		f) Accessibility;	
		3. Positive and/or negative	
		impacts on society of	

Original text	EU Recast proposal	Proposed amendment	Comment
		implementing possible control	
		measures:	
		a) health, including public,	
		environmental and	
		occupational health;	
		b) agriculture, including	
		aquaculture and forestry;	
		c) biota(biodiversity);	
		d) economic aspects;	
		e) movement towards	
		sustainable development; and	
		f) social costs;	
		4. Waste and disposal	
		implications (in particular,	
		obsolete stocks of pesticides	
		and clean-up of contaminated	
		sites):	
		a) technical feasibility; and	
		b) cost;	
		5. Access to information and	
		public education;	
		6. Status of control and	
		monitoring capacity; and	
		7. Any existing risk	
		management measures at	
		Union level or adopted by	
		industry.	